

Permeability of Base Aggregate and Sand [TPF-5(341)]

Kickoff Meeting
February 19, 2020

Research Team

- Bill Likos (PI) – University of Wisconsin-Madison
- Tuncer Edil (Co-I) – University of Wisconsin-Madison
- **Jie Yin – Visiting Scholar (Jiangsu University)**
- Tyler Klink - Graduate Research Assistant
- Lamin Moore – Undergraduate Research Assistant

- Benjamin Worel – MnDOT Technical Liaison

Statement of Problem

- Lack of proper pore water drainage is one of the main causes geosystem failure (e.g., roadway base course, retaining wall backfills).
- Proper drainage required to minimize elevated pore pressure, minimize freeze-thaw damage.
- A simple and reliable tool capable of estimating drainability values for common aggregate types will aid in material selection and design
 - Saturated hydraulic conductivity, K_{sat}
 - SWCC parameters
- It's not just about D10!
 - Grain size distribution, crushing percentage, fines content, angularity, material type, others.....

Project Objectives

- Assess permeability of a wide range of coarse materials from base course (2" minus) to sand size (less than 20% finer than sieve #200).
- Conduct laboratory permeability tests on aggregates of different types, gradations, angularity, fine contents, and crushing percentages.
- Develop simple predictive tool that may be used to assess permeability from gradation, crushing percentage, fines content, aggregate angularity, and material type.

Project Approach

- Task 1 - Memorandum on Expected Research Benefits and Potential Implementation Steps
- Task 2 – Literature Review
 - Tremendous amount of information on empirical relations for permeability and water retention characteristics of coarse materials
- Task 3 – Laboratory Tests and Data Analysis
 - Sources: (i) literature, (ii) UW Archives, (iii) **New tests**
 - Index testing (GSD, crushing, fines content, compaction, angularity)
 - Rigid/Flex wall permeability testing
 - **SWCC testing**
 - Statistical analysis to develop empirical relations

Preliminary Literature Review

- Agus, S. S., Leong, E.-C., & Rahardjo, H. (2005). Estimating permeability functions of Singapore residual soils. *Engineering Geology*, 78(1-2), 119–133. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2004.12.001
- Bouchededid, M. B., & Humphrey, D. N. (2005). Permeability of base material for Maine roads. *Transportation research record*, 1936(1), 142-149.
- Carrier, W. D. (2003). Goodbye, Hazen; Hello, Kozeny-Carman. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 129(11), 1054–1056. doi:10.1061/(asce)1090-0241(2003)129:11(1054)
- Chapuis, R. P. (2004). Predicting the saturated hydraulic conductivity of sand and gravel using effective diameter and void ratio. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 41(5), 787–795. doi:10.1139/t04-022
- Choo, H., Kim, J., Lee, W., & Lee, C. (2016). Relationship between hydraulic conductivity and formation factor of coarse-grained soils as a function of particle size. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 127, 91–101. doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2016.02.013
- Côté, J., Fillion, M.-H., & Konrad, J.-M. (2011). Estimating Hydraulic and Thermal Conductivities of Crushed Granite Using Porosity and Equivalent Particle Size. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 137(9), 834–842. doi:10.1061/(asce)gt.1943-5606.0000503
- Dungca, J. R., Galupino, J. G., Alday, J. C., Barretto, M. A. F., Bauzon, M. K. G., & Tolentino, A. N. (2018). Hydraulic conductivity characteristics of road base materials blended with fly ash and bottom ash. *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 14(44), 121-127. doi.org/10.21660/2018.44.7145
- Elhakim, A. F. (2016). Estimation of soil permeability. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 55(3), 2631-2638.
- Fujikura, Y. (2019). Estimation of Permeability for Sand and Gravel Based on Pore-Size Distribution Model. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 31(12), 04019289. doi:10.1061/(asce)mt.1943-5533.0002945
- Gillies, J. A., Etyemezian, V., & Nikolich, G. (2018). Trapping of Sand-Sized Particles Exterior and Interior to Large Porous Roughness Forms in the Atmospheric Surface Layer. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 170(3), 443–469. doi:10.1007/s10546-018-0402-2
- Hong-yuan, F. U., Sheng-jun, W. U., & Gui-yao, W. A. N. G. (2009). Experimental study of influence of compressive stress on water permeability of sands soils. *Rock and Soil Mechanics*, 30(12), 3677-3681.(in chinese)
- Mokwa, R. L., & Trimble, N. R. (2008). Permeability of Coarse-Grain Soil from Void Space and Pore Distribution. *GeoCongress 2008*. doi:10.1061/40972(311)54
- Ren, X., Zhao, Y., Deng, Q., Kang, J., Li, D., & Wang, D. (2016). A relation of hydraulic conductivity — void ratio for soils based on Kozeny-Carman equation. *Engineering Geology*, 213, 89–97. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2016.08.017
- Onur, E. M., & Shakoor, A. (2014). Relationships Between Grain Size Distribution Indexes and Permeability of Sands. *Engineering Geology for Society and Territory - Volume 3*, 287–290. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-09054-2_59
- Rosas, J., Lopez, O., Missimer, T. M., Coulibaly, K. M., Dehwah, A. H. A., Sesler, K., Mantilla, D. (2014). "Determination of Hydraulic Conductivity from Grain-Size Distribution for Different Depositional Environments," *Groundwater*, 52(6), 824–825. doi:10.1111/gwat.12279
- Su, L. J., Zhang, Y. J., & Wang, T. X. (2014). Investigation on permeability of sands with different particle sizes. *Yantu Lixue/Rock and Soil Mechanics*, 35(5), 1289-1294.(in chinese)
- Wan, L., Jiang, X. W., & Wang, X. S. (2010). A common regularity of aquifers: the decay in hydraulic conductivity with depth. *Geological Journal of China Universities*, 16(1), 7-12. (in chinese)
- Wang, J.-P., François, B., & Lambert, P. (2017). Equations for hydraulic conductivity estimation from particle size distribution: A dimensional analysis. *Water Resources Research*, 53(9), 8127–8134. doi:10.1002/2017wr020888
- Won, J., Park, J., Choo, H., & Burns, S. (2019). Estimation of saturated hydraulic conductivity of coarse-grained soils using particle shape and electrical resistivity. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 167, 19–25. doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2019.05.013
- Zhai, Q., Rahardjo, H., & Satyanaga, A. (2018). A pore-size distribution function based method for estimation of hydraulic properties of sandy soils. *Engineering Geology*. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2018.09.031
- Zhai, Q., & Rahardjo, H. (2015). Estimation of permeability function from the soil–water characteristic curve. *Engineering Geology*, 199, 148–156. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2015.11.001
- Zhang, G. L., & Wang, Y. J. (2014). Experimental investigation of hydraulic conductivity of sand under high confining pressure. *Rock and Soil Mechanics*, 35(10), 2748-2754. (in chinese)



+

Method	Equation	Variable and Unit Definition	β	Use
Alvamani and Sen (1993)	$K \left[\frac{m}{d} \right] = \beta [I_0 + 0.025(d_{50} - d_{10})]^2$	I_0 is the intercept in mm of the line formed by d_{50} [mm] and d_{10} [mm] with the grain-size axis	1300	Well-distributed sample
Barr (2000)	$K \left[\frac{m}{s} \right] = \beta \frac{\rho g}{\mu} n m^2$ $m = \frac{n}{s}$ $S = C_s S_0 (1 - n)$ $S_0 = \sum_i S_{oi}$ $S_{oi} = \frac{3}{r_i} w f_i$	m is the hydraulic radius S is the surface area C_s is a surface area adjusting parameter S_{oi} is the surface area per unit mass of solid material r is the radius of the sphere representing the grain (sieve size), in meters $w f_i$ is the weight fraction retained in sieve i	1/5	$1 < C_s < 1.35$
Beyer (1964)	$K \left[\frac{m}{s} \right] = \beta \frac{g}{v} \log \frac{500}{C} d_{10}^2$ $C = \frac{d_{60}}{d_{10}}$	C is the coefficient of uniformity	6×10^{-4}	$0.64 \text{ mm} < d_{10} < 0.6 \text{ mm}$ $1 < C < 20$
Chapuis et al. (2005)	$K \left[\frac{cm}{s} \right] = \beta \left(\frac{d_{10}^2 e^3}{1 + e} \right)^{0.7825}$ $e = \frac{n}{1 - n}$	e is the void ratio d_{10} is in mm	2.4622	$0.03 \text{ mm} < d_{10} < 3 \text{ mm}$ $0.3 < e < 0.7$
Fair and Hatch (1933)	$K \left[\frac{m}{s} \right] = \beta \frac{\rho g}{\mu} \frac{n^3}{(1 - n)^2} \frac{1}{m \left(\frac{\theta}{100} \sum_i \frac{P_i}{d_{mi}} \right)}$ $P_i = 100 \cdot w f_i$ $d_{mi} = \sqrt{d_{s_i} \cdot d_{s_{i+1}}}$	m is a packing factor θ is a sand shape factor P is the percentage of sand held between adjacent sieves d_m is the geometric mean d_{s_i} is the size of the i sieve	1	$m = 5$ $6 < \theta < 7.7$, spherical to angular respectively

Schedule

FY20 (7/1/ 19– 6/30/20)														
Month of Contract														Budget
Calendar Month	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J		
Task #1			X	X	X	R	R						\$1,000	
Task #2			X	X	X	R	R						\$1,000	
Task #3				X	X	X	X	X	X	R	R		\$22,000	
Task #4											X	X		
Task #5														
FY20 Total:													\$24,000	

"R" in the schedule indicates the review/revision period

FY21 (7/1/ 20– 6/30/21)														
Month of Contract														Budget
Calendar Month	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J		
Task #1														
Task #2														
Task #3														
Task #4	R	R											\$4,500	
Task #5	R	R											\$1,500	
FY 21 Total													\$6,000	
Project Total:													\$30,000	

- All start and end dates pushed back 6 months
- Project start = March 31, 2020
- Project end = Feb 28, 2021

Items for Discussion

- Alignment with NRRA Members goals
- Materials for permeability testing
 - Need robust dataset for statistical analysis
 - Sources: (i) literature, (ii) UW Archives, (iii) **New tests**
- UW-Madison staffing issues