

Technical Memorandum

To: Jerome Adams, MnDOT

From: Andi Moffatt, WSB
Alison Harwood, WSB

Date: November 30, 2018

Re: Environmental Justice Considerations
I-94 UBOL Resurfacing Maple Grove to Rogers and Brockton Interchange
S.P. 2780-97 (I-94 Resurfacing)
S.P. 229-010-001 (Brockton Interchange)

The purpose of Executive Order 12898 is to identify, address, and avoid disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority and low income populations.

Background

Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-income Populations, dated February 11, 1994, directed “each federal agency shall make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States...” The proposed project has federal funding and federal permit requirements and is considered a federal project for purposes of compliance with the Executive Order.

FHWA Order 6640.23A FHWA Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations establishes policies and procedures for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to use in complying with Executive Order 12898. FHWA issued Order 6640.23A on June 14, 2012.

The steps for defining Environmental Justice (EJ) impacts include the following:

- Identification of EJ study area;
- Identification of the presence and location of low-income and/or minority populations within the study area;
- Identification of the impacts of the project upon any identified low-income and/or minority populations; and
- Determination of whether any impacts are disproportionality high or adverse.

The following lists the figures, tables, and exhibits associated with this technical memorandum.

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Study Area

Figure 2 – Minority Populations within the Study Area

List of Tables

Table 1: Minority Population by Block Group, 2010 Census Data

Table 2: Minority Population by 2010 Census Block Compared to Community

Table 3: Minority Population by Block Group, 2012-2016 ACS Data

Table 4: Low-Income Status by Census Block Group

Exhibits

Exhibit A – Detailed Census Block Minority Data

A. Identification of EJ Study Area

The EJ study area is the geographic area where the proposed project has potential for human health or environmental effects. The study area of influence for the proposed project is defined as one-quarter mile from the center line of the existing I-94 freeway, from the I-94/I-494 interchange in Maple Grove to TH 101 in Rogers. This study area is depicted in **Figure 1**. For the purposes of the EJ analysis, all Census Block Groups that were partially or completely located within the study area of influence were evaluated as depicted in **Figure 1**.

B. Identification of EJ Populations Present in Study Area

EJ populations include minority and low-income populations. Minority is defined in the DOT Order on Environmental Justice (Order 5610.2(a)) as including “Black or African American, Hispanic, Asian American, American Indian/Alaskan Native and Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander.” Minority population means any readily identifiable groups of minority persons who live in geographic proximity, and if circumstances warrant, geographically dispersed/transient persons (such as migrant workers or Native Americans) who will be similarly affected by a proposed DOT program, policy, or activity.

Low-income population is defined in the DOT Order as meaning “any readily identifiable group of low-income persons who live in geographic proximity, and, if circumstances warrant, geographically dispersed/transient persons (such as migrant workers or Native Americans) who will be similarly affected by a proposed DOT program, policy or activity.”

The following sources were used to evaluate the presence of EJ populations.

- 2010 Decennial Census
- 2012–2016 American Community Survey (ACS)
- Field review of the EJ study area
- Discussions with local city and MnDOT staff
- Public outreach

1. Minority Populations

Minority populations were identified using the demographics from 2010 Census data, field review, discussions with local and MnDOT staff, and public outreach. **Tables 1** and **2** summarize 2010 Census data for the study area by the county and city level. This table focuses on the minority population compared to the total population for Hennepin County, the cities of Maple Grove, Rogers, Corcoran, and Dayton. The data from the study area was compared to the data for the county as well as the city for the block group level and the block level.

At the block group level, **Table 1** provides insight into whether there are concentrations of minority populations within the study area by comparing the racial and ethnic composition of the study area with the demographics for the study area cities and Hennepin County. Most of the block groups are within Maple Grove; the block groups are organized by city. Areas where block group data are higher than city averages are shaded gray. Areas where block group data are higher than Hennepin County average are bolded. There are 15 block groups in the study area.

In 2010, Hennepin County had a population of 1,152,425. Of that population, 28 percent was identified as minority. Further breakdowns of the data indicate that 7 percent was categorized as Hispanic and 23 percent was categorized as non-Hispanic. Data for the cities of Maple Grove, Rogers, and Dayton indicate that they generally have a lower minority population than Hennepin County.

Table 1: Minority Population by Block Group, 2010 Census Data

Location	Non Hispanic Minority Population	Percent Non Hispanic Minority	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Total Population
<i>Maple Grove, Minnesota</i>	7,800	13	1,545	3	61,567
Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.08	103	11	20	2	973
Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	95	12	22	3	847
Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	71	7	23	2	998
Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	452	16	103	3	2,963
Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.10	247	23	58	5	1,128
Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	124	8	36	2	1,538
Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	163	12	55	4	1,402
Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	222	14	68	4	1,678
Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	1,104	19	173	3	5,868
Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	298	13	66	3	2,357
Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	97	7	32	2	1,466
Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	54	6	16	2	925
<i>Rogers, Minnesota</i>	672	8	160	2	8,597
Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	509	9	101	2	6,019
<i>Dayton, Minnesota</i>	192	5	413	9	4,671
Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	109	6	350	16	2,224
<i>Corcoran, Minnesota</i>	276	5	152	3	5,379
Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	104	5	134	6	2,076
<i>Hennepin County</i>	248,079	23	77,676	7	1,152,425

Block group percentages that exceed city percentages are shaded gray. Block group percentages that exceed Hennepin County percentages are bolded.

As shown in **Table 1**, data from 2010 indicates that eight of the block groups have greater minority populations compared to their respective cities. The block group in the City of Dayton is the only block group with a minority community greater than Hennepin County.

The data for minority populations is available by both the block and block group level. Data at the block level can provide a greater level of analysis for the location of minority

populations. There are 524 census blocks within the study area. **Table 2** shows that, of these 524 blocks, 109 blocks have a greater non-Hispanic minority than the community average, compared to 104 blocks with a greater Hispanic or Latino population. See **Exhibit A** for a detailed table of 2010 Census Summary File from <https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml>.

Table 2: Minority Population by 2010 Census Block Compared to Community

Community	Total Blocks	Number of Blocks Greater than Community wide Percentage	
		Non Hispanic Minority	Hispanic or Latino
Hennepin County	524	36	25
City of Corcoran	36	10	5
City of Dayton	62	11	6
City of Maple Grove	265	26	51
City of Rogers	161	26	17

Figure 2 shows locations where minority populations are higher than county rates based upon the Census data.

Due to the age of the Census Data, data from the American Community Survey from 2012–2016 was reviewed to determine if there were changes in the population characteristics. According to the data, Hennepin County’s population increased to approximately 1.21 million people. Approximately 30 percent of the people identified as a non-Hispanic minority population, with 7 percent identifying as Hispanic or Latino. **Table 3** shows the data from the ACS from 2012–2016.

Table 3: Minority Population by Block Group, 2012-2016 ACS Data

Location	Non Hispanic Minority Population	Percent Non Hispanic Minority	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Total Population
<i>Maple Grove, Minnesota</i>	10,607	16	1,385	2	66,969
Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.08	53	6	12	1	953
Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	160	15	0	0	1,037
Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	74	9	34	4	810
Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	731	17	20	0	4,249
Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.10	366	33	163	14	1,125
Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	223	13	47	3	1,714

Location	Non Hispanic Minority Population	Percent Non Hispanic Minority	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Total Population
Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	49	4	0	0	1,101
Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	74	4	32	2	1,711
Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	1,372	20	194	3	6,989
Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	464	21	94	4	2,171
Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	20	1	0	0	1,587
Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	0	0	0	0	1,141
<i>Rogers, Minnesota</i>	743	6	36	0	12,284
Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	474	8	36	1	6,079
<i>Dayton, Minnesota</i>	750	15	564	11	5,031
Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	730	27	564	21	2,693
<i>Corcoran, Minnesota</i>	659	12	291	5	5,572
Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	484	23	243	11	2,117
<i>Hennepin County</i>	361,841	30	82,312	7	1,209,265

Block group percentages that exceed city percentages are shaded gray. Block group percentages that exceed Hennepin County percentages are bolded.

As shown in **Table 3**, data from 2012–2016 is generally consistent with the results of the 2010 Census data; however, the ACS data indicates that three block groups have a higher share of Hispanic or Latino residents than the county as a whole (compared to one block group as indicated by the Census data). Based on the ACS data, Census Tract 267.10, Block Group 5 north of the I-94/I-494 split in Maple Grove, and Census Tract 271.02, Block Group 2 in Corcoran have a higher percentage of Hispanic or Latino residents when compared with the countywide figures. There are also some differences regarding which block groups have higher proportions of minorities than their respective cities. One block group (Census Tract 267.08, Block Group 5 near Rice Lake in Maple Grove) was identified by the ACS data (but not by the Census data) as having a higher proportion of Hispanic or Latino residents than the City of Maple Grove. While these discrepancies could indicate the presence of EJ populations that are relatively new within the study area, they may also be associated with methodological differences between the ACS and Census. The ACS relies more extensively on sampling when compared to the decennial Census, which is intended to produce a full count of residents. Because sampling error is higher for geographies with smaller populations, this could explain some of the differences between the two data sources.

2. Low-Income Populations

In addition to information on minority populations, data collection and other outreach efforts were engaged to determine if there were concentrations of low-income populations. Based on the criteria set forth in USDOT Order 5610.2(a), a low-income person is one whose median household income is at or below the Department of Health

and Human Services poverty guidelines. A low-income population is any readily identifiable group or groups of low-income persons who live in geographic proximity, and, if circumstances warrant, geographically dispersed or transient persons who will be similarly affected by a proposed USDOT, program, policy, or activity. Because the Census data does not incorporate information on poverty, the most recent ACS data (2012–2016) was used. Data is reported at the block group and tract levels. It is also provided at the city and county levels.

Table 4 summarizes the low-income population for Hennepin County and the cities of Maple Grove, Rogers, Corcoran, and Dayton. The data from the study area was compared to the data for the county as well as the individual city. This comparison provides insight into whether there are concentrations of low-income populations within the study area by comparing the percentage of individuals living below the poverty level in the study area with the demographics for the study area cities and Hennepin County as a whole.

Table 4: Low-Income Status by Census Block Group

Location	Percent of Population Below DHHS Poverty Level
<i>Maple Grove, Minnesota</i>	5.0
Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.08	0.0
Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	2.5
Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	2.5
Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	5.9
Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.10	3.9
Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	2.1
Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	3.0
Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	6.4
Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	4.6
Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	7.5
Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	0.6
Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	0.8
<i>Rogers, Minnesota</i>	2.0
Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	2.6
<i>Dayton, Minnesota</i>	4.3
Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	4.7

Corcoran, Minnesota	1.9
Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	4.3
Hennepin County, Minnesota	11.9

Areas where block group figures are higher than the city averages are noted by shaded gray

As shown in **Table 4**, none of the block groups have higher concentrations of low-income than the Hennepin County average. Maple Grove has three block groups with higher levels of low-income than the city as a whole, two of which are close to the I-94 and I-494 interchange. The three block groups within the cities of Dayton, Rogers, and Corcoran all have higher levels of low-income than their respective citywide figures.

In addition to the ACS data analysis, other methods of data review were conducted to determine if there were concentrations of low-income populations within the study area. These methods included a desktop review of aerials, information provided by city staff, and from discussions during public information meetings. This additional information revealed a few considerations. First, there is a manufactured home community located at the intersection of Brockton Lane North and County Road 81, in Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10. Residents of manufactured home communities may be low-income individuals. The ACS data for this census tract does reflect the increased presence of low-income individuals and local knowledge has led to the specific highlighting of this community. **Figure 1** shows this location.

It is also believed that there are some people experiencing homelessness at the Elm Creek Rest Area located in Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14. People experiencing homelessness who do not have a permanent address will not be included in the existing ACS data. The data for this census tract does reflect the increased presence of low-income individuals, but this tract deserves specific highlighting because of the known lack of data on the low-income population in the area. There is also new, affordable housing development located in this same block group in Maple Grove.

3. EJ Population Summary

Based on the efforts described above, there are readily identifiable minority and low-income populations in the EJ study area. For the analysis, while both county and city comparisons were analyzed, comparing the study area to Hennepin County demographics was deemed appropriate as I-94 is a regionally important transportation corridor and the county ranges in diversity compared with the city level data. These EJ populations are near the Brockton interchange and Elm Creek Rest Area.

C. Impacts of the Project Upon any Identified Low-Income and/or Minority Populations

Executive Order 12898 requires that the proposed actions be reviewed to determine if there are “disproportionately” high or adverse impacts on these populations. Disproportionately high and adverse effect on minority and low-income populations means an adverse effect that:

- Is predominately borne by a minority population and/or a low-income population, or
- Will be suffered by the minority population and/or low-income population and is appreciably more severe or greater in magnitude than the adverse effect that will be suffered by the non-minority population and/or non-low-income population.

As described in the EA/EAW (environmental document), the proposed action would have impacts on human health and environment with regard to the following topics: physical impacts to property, air, noise, and traffic. These topics are described below including the

impact, mitigation and whether the impact after mitigation is disproportionately high or adverse to identified EJ populations.

1. Physical Impacts to Property/Residences

In terms of physical impacts that would require property acquisition or require populations to relocate, there are no impacts. Most the project along I-94 will occur within existing right-of-way. At the location of the proposed Brockton interchange, property has been acquired, as needed. Any additional right-of-way acquisition and relocation would be completed by the City of Dayton and done in accordance with the *Uniform Relocation and Real Property Acquisitions Policies Act of 1970*, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 4601 et seq.) (URA). In accordance with the URA, property owners will be paid fair market value for their land and buildings and, where applicable, be assisted in finding replacement sites for business or residential dwellings. In addition, any tenant of the property to be acquired is required to receive relocation assistance, if desired. There are no anticipated disproportional impacts to low-income or minority populations by acquisition needs.

2. Air Quality

State of Minnesota air quality standards will be met throughout all segments of the project. Given increases in volume along certain portions of the project, air quality has been evaluated in the EA/EAW. It has not been found that the air quality analysis or impacts are any more significant in those areas of identified EJ populations, therefore the project would not have a disproportionately high and adverse impact on EJ populations related to air quality.

3. Noise

As described in the EA/EAW, a detailed noise analysis was conducted. This included noise monitoring along the corridor, including within the census block groups with minority populations, at the Elm Creek Rest Area, and at the affordable housing development shown on **Figure 1**. The analysis of the noise due to parked, idling heavy trucks at the rest area was done using MnDOT's "Stationary Source modeling procedure" as per MnDOT's 2017 guidance. There were no noise impacts within Block Group 5 Census Tract 267.10 or Block Group 2 Census Tract 269.10. There were no noise impacts at the affordable housing development. There were noise impacts within the Elm Creek Rest Area and this area was analyzed for a noise wall. The analysis showed that the barrier was not able to provide benefit to the receptors behind the barrier since impacts are also coming from idling trucks that use the rest area.

The analysis found that five noise barriers met MnDOT's cost effectiveness and reasonableness. Therefore, those five barriers will move forward to obtain feedback from the benefitted receptors. Additional analysis is included in **Appendix N** of the EA/EAW.

All residents within 500 feet of the study area were notified of noise meetings, and given the chance to learn about the noise process and participate, either in person or by calling. Both EJ and non-EJ populations were invited and given the chance to participate in the noise process. This included the affordable housing development shown on **Figure 1** and many of those neighbors in areas with higher than average low income and minority populations. Also, additional monitoring was conducted in this area, and found that noise will not negatively impact that development.

In conclusion, the project would not have a disproportionately high and adverse impact on EJ populations related to noise.

4. Traffic Impacts

Construction Impacts

As traffic congestion is expected to be worse during construction, commuters and other travelers may take alternative routes to I-94. While there will be no designated detour route, it is possible that traffic will increase on local routes. However, it is not anticipated that local routes and increased traffic will have a disproportionately high impact on low income or minority people. The areas with higher than average low income or minority populations are not located in areas where increased traffic is anticipated.

There will be short term impacts on a small, nonquantifiable number of individuals reported to be living at the rest area illegally. The rest area will be closing temporarily during construction to make improvements to the parking areas. Following construction, some homeless individuals could return to the rest area. Potential mitigative measures include providing communication about upcoming construction and closures.

Post Construction

After construction, there are not anticipated to be any traffic impacts and access to I-94 is anticipated to improve.

D. Environmental Justice Finding

The purpose of Executive Order 12898 is to identify, address, and avoid disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations. Readily identifiable minority and low-income populations are affected by the project. However, the adverse effects of the project will not be predominately borne by the identified minority or low-income population, nor will they be appreciably more severe or greater in magnitude than the adverse effect that will be suffered by the non-minority or non-low-income population. Therefore, the proposed action will not have disproportionality high or adverse human health or environmental effects on any minority population or low-income population.

Figures




Figure 1 - Study Area
I-94 UBOL Resurfacing Maple Grove to Rogers and Brockton Interchange
 S.P. 2780-97
 MnDOT and City of Dayton, Minnesota



0 7,000
 Feet
 1 inch = 7,000 feet



Document Path: K:\0322-1-30\GIS\MapServer\environment\mapserver\tech\memorandum_studyarea.mxd Date Saved: 11/12/2016




Figure 2 - Minority Populations within the Study Area, Census Data
I-94 UBOL Resurfacing Maple Grove to Rogers and Brockton Interchange
 S.P. 2780-97
 MnDOT and City of Dayton, Minnesota




Exhibit A
Detailed Census Block Minority Data

	A	C	D	E	F	J
1		Block Groups with Population				
2	Hennepin	334				
3	Corcoran	31				
4	Dayton	38				
5	Maple Grove	171				
6	Rogers	94				
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
8	Hennepin County	1152425	77676	6.74%	1074749	23.00%
9	City of Corcoran	5379	152	2.83%	5227	5.00%
10	City of Corcoran	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
11	Block 2000, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	5	0	0.00%	5	0.00%
12	Block 2001, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	102	0	0.00%	102	0.00%
13	Block 2002, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	24	0	0.00%	24	0.00%
14	Block 2003, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	33	0	0.00%	33	0.00%
15	Block 2008, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	51	0	0.00%	51	0.00%
16	Block 2010, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	71	0	0.00%	71	0.00%
17	Block 2013, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	6	0	0.00%	6	0.00%
18	Block 2018, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	54	0	0.00%	54	0.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
19	Block 2021, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	1	0	0.00%	1	0.00%
20	Block 2022, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	13	0	0.00%	13	0.00%
21	Block 2023, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	5	0	0.00%	5	0.00%
22	Block 2027, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	3	0	0.00%	3	0.00%
23	Block 2029, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	3	0	0.00%	3	0.00%
24	Block 2034, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	3	0	0.00%	3	0.00%
25	Block 2005, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	320	2	0.63%	318	0.00%
26	Block 2012, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	50	1	2.00%	49	0.00%
27	Block 2009, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	231	1	0.43%	230	0.43%
28	Block 2030, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	85	0	0.00%	85	1.18%
29	Block 2004, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	59	0	0.00%	59	1.69%
30	Block 2015, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	120	4	3.33%	116	2.59%
31	Block 2025, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	75	32	42.67%	43	4.65%
32	Block 2020, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	87	27	31.03%	60	6.67%
33	Block 2014, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	94	0	0.00%	94	7.45%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
34	Block 2024, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	42	19	45.24%	23	8.70%
35	Block 2007, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	192	6	3.13%	186	9.14%
36	Block 2031, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	44	18	40.91%	26	11.54%
37	Block 2017, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	8	0	0.00%	8	12.50%
38	Block 2016, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	44	0	0.00%	44	20.45%
39	Block 2019, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	245	24	9.80%	221	23.08%
40	Block 2032, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	4	0	0.00%	4	25.00%
41	Block 2028, Block Group 2, Census Tract 271.02	2	0	0.00%	2	50.00%
42	City of Dayton	4671	413	8.84%	4258	5.00%
43	City of Dayton	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
44	Block 2001, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	17	0	0.00%	17	0.00%
45	Block 2004, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	15	0	0.00%	15	0.00%
46	Block 2005, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	10	0	0.00%	10	0.00%
47	Block 2007, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	33	0	0.00%	33	0.00%
48	Block 2008, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	47	0	0.00%	47	0.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
49	Block 2022, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	24	0	0.00%	24	0.00%
50	Block 2025, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	5	0	0.00%	5	0.00%
51	Block 2039, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	6	0	0.00%	6	0.00%
52	Block 2045, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	46	0	0.00%	46	0.00%
53	Block 2046, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	2	0	0.00%	2	0.00%
54	Block 2048, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	2	0	0.00%	2	0.00%
55	Block 2049, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	6	0	0.00%	6	0.00%
56	Block 2051, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	19	0	0.00%	19	0.00%
57	Block 2033, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	46	0	0.00%	46	2.17%
58	Block 2030, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	137	0	0.00%	137	2.19%
59	Block 2060, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	19	0	0.00%	19	5.26%
60	Block 2021, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	99	0	0.00%	99	6.06%
61	Block 2020, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	62	0	0.00%	62	9.68%
62	Block 2029, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
63	Block 2054, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	4	0	0.00%	4	100.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
64	Block 2006, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	82	1	1.22%	81	24.69%
65	Block 5002, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.10	173	3	1.73%	170	10.59%
66	Block 2002, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	57	1	1.75%	56	0.00%
67	Block 2027, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	88	2	2.27%	86	2.33%
68	Block 2010, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	83	2	2.41%	81	2.47%
69	Block 2000, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	308	8	2.60%	300	5.67%
70	Block 2016, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	31	1	3.23%	30	0.00%
71	Block 2031, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	28	1	3.57%	27	0.00%
72	Block 2003, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	60	3	5.00%	57	0.00%
73	Block 2013, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	76	4	5.26%	72	4.17%
74	Block 2014, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	32	2	6.25%	30	0.00%
75	Block 2043, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	42	3	7.14%	39	0.00%
76	Block 2034, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	138	38	27.54%	100	8.00%
77	Block 2035, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	114	35	30.70%	79	16.46%
78	Block 2042, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	91	38	41.76%	53	9.43%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
79	Block 2036, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	154	73	47.40%	81	2.47%
80	Block 2040, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	78	42	53.85%	36	2.78%
81	Block 2041, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.10	162	96	59.26%	66	21.21%
82	City of Maple Grove	61567	1545	2.51%	60022	13.00%
83	City of Maple Grove	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
84	Block 1001, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	18	0	0.00%	18	0.00%
85	Block 1004, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	43	0	0.00%	43	0.00%
86	Block 1017, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	24	0	0.00%	24	0.00%
87	Block 1021, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	28	0	0.00%	28	0.00%
88	Block 1024, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	19	0	0.00%	19	0.00%
89	Block 1025, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	30	0	0.00%	30	0.00%
90	Block 1035, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	88	0	0.00%	88	0.00%
91	Block 1041, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	6	0	0.00%	6	0.00%
92	Block 2007, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	66	0	0.00%	66	0.00%
93	Block 2013, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	23	0	0.00%	23	0.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
94	Block 3015, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	18	0	0.00%	18	0.00%
95	Block 3019, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	67	0	0.00%	67	0.00%
96	Block 4018, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	8	0	0.00%	8	0.00%
97	Block 4031, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	22	0	0.00%	22	0.00%
98	Block 4048, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	17	0	0.00%	17	0.00%
99	Block 5007, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	42	0	0.00%	42	0.00%
100	Block 5015, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	47	0	0.00%	47	0.00%
101	Block 5016, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	56	0	0.00%	56	0.00%
102	Block 2001, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	89	1	1.12%	88	0.00%
103	Block 1022, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	45	1	2.22%	44	0.00%
104	Block 5003, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.10	35	1	2.86%	34	0.00%
105	Block 1038, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	29	1	3.45%	28	0.00%
106	Block 4017, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	24	1	4.17%	23	0.00%
107	Block 3007, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	19	1	5.26%	18	0.00%
108	Block 3020, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	73	4	5.48%	69	0.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
109	Block 1025, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	12	1	8.33%	11	0.00%
110	Block 5009, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	20	3	15.00%	17	0.00%
111	Block 5010, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	115	2	1.74%	113	0.88%
112	Block 1015, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	216	2	0.93%	214	0.93%
113	Block 2011, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	70	4	5.71%	66	1.52%
114	Block 3006, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	126	0	0.00%	126	1.59%
115	Block 4005, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	116	0	0.00%	116	1.72%
116	Block 1005, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	211	1	0.47%	210	1.90%
117	Block 1008, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	153	0	0.00%	153	1.96%
118	Block 3002, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	152	4	2.63%	148	2.03%
119	Block 2007, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	44	1	2.27%	43	2.33%
120	Block 2014, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	68	0	0.00%	68	2.94%
121	Block 4006, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	101	1	0.99%	100	3.00%
122	Block 4019, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	34	2	5.88%	32	3.13%
123	Block 3010, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	138	11	7.97%	127	3.15%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
124	Block 1003, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	31	0	0.00%	31	3.23%
125	Block 2013, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	95	7	7.37%	88	3.41%
126	Block 2000, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	174	2	1.15%	172	3.49%
127	Block 2012, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	169	1	0.59%	168	3.57%
128	Block 1006, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	131	0	0.00%	131	3.82%
129	Block 1007, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	50	0	0.00%	50	4.00%
130	Block 5017, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	25	0	0.00%	25	4.00%
131	Block 3008, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	219	4	1.83%	215	4.19%
132	Block 1016, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	144	3	2.08%	141	4.26%
133	Block 3008, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	97	7	7.22%	90	4.44%
134	Block 2008, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	21	0	0.00%	21	4.76%
135	Block 5000, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	21	0	0.00%	21	4.76%
136	Block 2001, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	45	3	6.67%	42	4.76%
137	Block 3011, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	87	4	4.60%	83	4.82%
138	Block 2005, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	82	5	6.10%	77	5.19%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
139	Block 4007, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	147	6	4.08%	141	5.67%
140	Block 4029, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	52	0	0.00%	52	5.77%
141	Block 2006, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	51	0	0.00%	51	5.88%
142	Block 5002, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	69	1	1.45%	68	5.88%
143	Block 4005, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	106	6	5.66%	100	6.00%
144	Block 2008, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	33	0	0.00%	33	6.06%
145	Block 1019, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	157	2	1.27%	155	6.45%
146	Block 1015, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	15	0	0.00%	15	6.67%
147	Block 2011, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	101	2	1.98%	99	7.07%
148	Block 1012, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	89	5	5.62%	84	7.14%
149	Block 2010, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	108	1	0.93%	107	7.48%
150	Block 2009, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	80	0	0.00%	80	7.50%
151	Block 2010, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	168	9	5.36%	159	7.55%
152	Block 2003, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	377	9	2.39%	368	7.61%
153	Block 1009, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	118	0	0.00%	118	7.63%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
154	Block 4033, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	128	0	0.00%	128	7.81%
155	Block 1018, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	163	7	4.29%	156	8.33%
156	Block 4008, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	68	0	0.00%	68	8.82%
157	Block 3015, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	187	6	3.21%	181	8.84%
158	Block 5003, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	282	12	4.26%	270	8.89%
159	Block 4057, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	156	0	0.00%	156	8.97%
160	Block 3014, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	43	0	0.00%	43	9.30%
161	Block 3010, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	128	0	0.00%	128	9.38%
162	Block 1007, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	96	1	1.04%	95	9.47%
163	Block 3017, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	42	0	0.00%	42	9.52%
164	Block 2005, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	443	4	0.90%	439	9.57%
165	Block 2005, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	269	3	1.12%	266	9.77%
166	Block 4028, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	135	2	1.48%	133	9.77%
167	Block 1023, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	60	0	0.00%	60	10.00%
168	Block 1037, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	61	1	1.64%	60	10.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
169	Block 3018, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	41	1	2.44%	40	10.00%
170	Block 1010, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	72	12	16.67%	60	10.00%
171	Block 4007, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	24	4	16.67%	20	10.00%
172	Block 2003, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	90	3	3.33%	87	10.34%
173	Block 3006, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	47	0	0.00%	47	10.64%
174	Block 1024, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	111	1	0.90%	110	10.91%
175	Block 4047, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	65	2	3.08%	63	11.11%
176	Block 2007, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	117	4	3.42%	113	11.50%
177	Block 3005, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	26	0	0.00%	26	11.54%
178	Block 2002, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	34	0	0.00%	34	11.76%
179	Block 1013, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	59	0	0.00%	59	11.86%
180	Block 2075, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	134	0	0.00%	134	11.94%
181	Block 2006, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	79	4	5.06%	75	12.00%
182	Block 2012, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	390	24	6.15%	366	12.02%
183	Block 1006, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	49	0	0.00%	49	12.24%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
184	Block 4037, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	303	9	2.97%	294	12.24%
185	Block 5008, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	33	1	3.03%	32	12.50%
186	Block 4001, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	28	4	14.29%	24	12.50%
187	Block 5019, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.08	288	4	1.39%	284	12.68%
188	Block 1014, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	58	3	5.17%	55	12.73%
189	Block 3014, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	262	7	2.67%	255	12.94%
190	Block 2002, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	101	1	0.99%	100	13.00%
191	Block 4000, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	48	2	4.17%	46	13.04%
192	Block 3009, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	39	2	5.13%	37	13.51%
193	Block 2015, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	29	0	0.00%	29	13.79%
194	Block 1020, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	873	23	2.63%	850	14.00%
195	Block 4043, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	93	1	1.08%	92	14.13%
196	Block 4003, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	98	0	0.00%	98	14.29%
197	Block 4025, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	223	6	2.69%	217	14.29%
198	Block 1014, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	74	4	5.41%	70	14.29%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
199	Block 1008, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	40	0	0.00%	40	15.00%
200	Block 1009, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	102	2	1.96%	100	15.00%
201	Block 4006, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	695	30	4.32%	665	15.04%
202	Block 4008, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	135	3	2.22%	132	15.15%
203	Block 1003, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.08	46	0	0.00%	46	15.22%
204	Block 2004, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	47	1	2.13%	46	15.22%
205	Block 1039, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	59	0	0.00%	59	15.25%
206	Block 1013, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	1403	23	1.64%	1380	15.58%
207	Block 3004, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	1089	27	2.48%	1062	15.91%
208	Block 3012, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.16	94	1	1.06%	93	16.13%
209	Block 2004, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	43	1	2.33%	42	16.67%
210	Block 1034, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	166	5	3.01%	161	16.77%
211	Block 1031, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	133	8	6.02%	125	16.80%
212	Block 4034, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	144	17	11.81%	127	17.32%
213	Block 2000, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	426	23	5.40%	403	17.62%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
214	Block 5001, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.10	67	5	7.46%	62	17.74%
215	Block 4045, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	25	3	12.00%	22	18.18%
216	Block 1000, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	43	0	0.00%	43	18.60%
217	Block 3012, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	183	3	1.64%	180	18.89%
218	Block 2010, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.11	98	6	6.12%	92	19.57%
219	Block 4058, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	55	4	7.27%	51	19.61%
220	Block 4002, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	30	0	0.00%	30	20.00%
221	Block 4040, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	95	1	1.05%	94	20.21%
222	Block 3007, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	68	0	0.00%	68	20.59%
223	Block 2008, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	73	1	1.37%	72	20.83%
224	Block 1011, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	19	0	0.00%	19	21.05%
225	Block 2002, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	62	5	8.06%	57	21.05%
226	Block 1017, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	42	0	0.00%	42	21.43%
227	Block 1001, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	19	5	26.32%	14	21.43%
228	Block 3011, Block Group 3, Census Tract 267.14	37	0	0.00%	37	21.62%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
229	Block 4004, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.08	144	6	4.17%	138	23.91%
230	Block 4035, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	4	0	0.00%	4	25.00%
231	Block 1023, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	195	9	4.62%	186	25.81%
232	Block 4026, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	83	0	0.00%	83	26.51%
233	Block 5018, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.10	106	5	4.72%	101	26.73%
234	Block 5000, Block Group 5, Census Tract 267.10	747	44	5.89%	703	27.17%
235	Block 4042, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	44	0	0.00%	44	27.27%
236	Block 1002, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.11	97	2	2.06%	95	27.37%
237	Block 1010, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	191	3	1.57%	188	27.66%
238	Block 4044, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	40	4	10.00%	36	27.78%
239	Block 1027, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	68	8	11.76%	60	28.33%
240	Block 1022, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	165	3	1.82%	162	29.01%
241	Block 4046, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	33	2	6.06%	31	29.03%
242	Block 2000, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.12	345	29	8.41%	316	29.43%
243	Block 4038, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	66	4	6.06%	62	30.65%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
244	Block 1032, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	143	0	0.00%	143	30.77%
245	Block 4030, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	26	0	0.00%	26	30.77%
246	Block 1028, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	45	4	8.89%	41	31.71%
247	Block 1016, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	146	6	4.11%	140	32.86%
248	Block 4036, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	17	0	0.00%	17	35.29%
249	Block 1026, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	874	59	6.75%	815	36.93%
250	Block 4032, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	70	0	0.00%	70	37.14%
251	Block 4027, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	79	5	6.33%	74	37.84%
252	Block 1004, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.08	13	0	0.00%	13	38.46%
253	Block 4003, Block Group 4, Census Tract 267.10	29	0	0.00%	29	48.28%
254	Block 1029, Block Group 1, Census Tract 267.14	123	4	3.25%	119	56.30%
255	City of Rogers	8597	160	1.86%	8437	8.00%
256	City of Rogers	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
257	Block 2001, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	14	0	0.00%	14	0.00%
258	Block 2003, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	24	0	0.00%	24	0.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
259	Block 2004, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	24	0	0.00%	24	0.00%
260	Block 2009, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	45	0	0.00%	45	0.00%
261	Block 2010, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	61	0	0.00%	61	0.00%
262	Block 2012, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	1	0	0.00%	1	0.00%
263	Block 2016, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	25	0	0.00%	25	0.00%
264	Block 2019, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	26	0	0.00%	26	0.00%
265	Block 2022, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	13	0	0.00%	13	0.00%
266	Block 2028, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	1	0	0.00%	1	0.00%
267	Block 2030, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	43	0	0.00%	43	0.00%
268	Block 2031, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	13	0	0.00%	13	0.00%
269	Block 2051, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	2	0	0.00%	2	0.00%
270	Block 2057, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	19	0	0.00%	19	0.00%
271	Block 2060, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	3	0	0.00%	3	0.00%
272	Block 2062, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	5	0	0.00%	5	0.00%
273	Block 2065, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	19	0	0.00%	19	0.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
274	Block 2079, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	10	0	0.00%	10	0.00%
275	Block 2082, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	16	0	0.00%	16	0.00%
276	Block 2092, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	7	0	0.00%	7	0.00%
277	Block 2095, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	53	0	0.00%	53	0.00%
278	Block 2101, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	15	0	0.00%	15	0.00%
279	Block 2102, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	2	0	0.00%	2	0.00%
280	Block 2103, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	4	0	0.00%	4	0.00%
281	Block 2109, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	4	0	0.00%	4	0.00%
282	Block 2112, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	4	0	0.00%	4	0.00%
283	Block 2118, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	48	0	0.00%	48	0.00%
284	Block 2119, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	52	0	0.00%	52	0.00%
285	Block 2121, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	4	0	0.00%	4	0.00%
286	Block 2122, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	28	0	0.00%	28	0.00%
287	Block 2125, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	15	0	0.00%	15	0.00%
288	Block 2128, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	2	0	0.00%	2	0.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
289	Block 2131, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	5	0	0.00%	5	0.00%
290	Block 2133, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	15	0	0.00%	15	0.00%
291	Block 2135, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	4	0	0.00%	4	0.00%
292	Block 2136, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	20	0	0.00%	20	0.00%
293	Block 2137, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	3	0	0.00%	3	0.00%
294	Block 2141, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	2	0	0.00%	2	0.00%
295	Block 2147, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	2	0	0.00%	2	0.00%
296	Block 2148, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	5	0	0.00%	5	0.00%
297	Block 2152, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	2	0	0.00%	2	0.00%
298	Block 2153, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	1	0	0.00%	1	0.00%
299	Block 2157, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	3	0	0.00%	3	0.00%
300	Block 2018, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	23	1	4.35%	22	0.00%
301	Block 2116, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	42	2	4.76%	40	0.00%
302	Block 2068, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	17	4	23.53%	13	0.00%
303	Block 2081, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	21	5	23.81%	16	0.00%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
304	Block 2108, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	10	3	30.00%	7	0.00%
305	Block 2126, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	5	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
306	Block 2123, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	106	1	0.94%	105	0.95%
307	Block 2034, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	63	0	0.00%	63	1.59%
308	Block 2017, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	98	0	0.00%	98	2.04%
309	Block 2149, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	177	3	1.69%	174	2.30%
310	Block 2002, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	128	1	0.78%	127	2.36%
311	Block 2040, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	39	0	0.00%	39	2.56%
312	Block 2008, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	244	1	0.41%	243	2.88%
313	Block 2150, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	74	6	8.11%	68	2.94%
314	Block 2117, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	33	0	0.00%	33	3.03%
315	Block 2078, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	70	4	5.71%	66	3.03%
316	Block 2014, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	383	2	0.52%	381	3.94%
317	Block 2044, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	69	1	1.45%	68	4.41%
318	Block 2087, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	45	0	0.00%	45	4.44%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
319	Block 2015, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	87	0	0.00%	87	4.60%
320	Block 2005, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	108	2	1.85%	106	5.66%
321	Block 2067, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	85	1	1.18%	84	5.95%
322	Block 2115, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	170	4	2.35%	166	7.23%
323	Block 2033, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	13	0	0.00%	13	7.69%
324	Block 2090, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	30	5	16.67%	25	8.00%
325	Block 2145, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	70	0	0.00%	70	8.57%
326	Block 2001, Block Group 2, Census Tract 267.16	36	1	2.78%	35	8.57%
327	Block 2056, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	824	8	0.97%	816	8.82%
328	Block 2084, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	87	4	4.60%	83	9.64%
329	Block 2041, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	53	3	5.66%	50	10.00%
330	Block 2086, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	221	0	0.00%	221	10.41%
331	Block 2064, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	9	0	0.00%	9	11.11%
332	Block 2045, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	199	1	0.50%	198	11.11%
333	Block 2156, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	180	2	1.11%	178	11.24%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
334	Block 2066, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	97	0	0.00%	97	12.37%
335	Block 2146, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	57	1	1.75%	56	12.50%
336	Block 2076, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	159	9	5.66%	150	12.67%
337	Block 2039, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	46	0	0.00%	46	13.04%
338	Block 2061, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	45	0	0.00%	45	13.33%
339	Block 2037, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	152	5	3.29%	147	13.61%
340	Block 2083, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	190	1	0.53%	189	14.29%
341	Block 2038, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	52	0	0.00%	52	15.38%
342	Block 2035, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	56	0	0.00%	56	16.07%
343	Block 2046, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	71	3	4.23%	68	19.12%
344	Block 2029, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	305	11	3.61%	294	22.45%
345	Block 2077, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	64	2	3.13%	62	22.58%
346	Block 2080, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	33	0	0.00%	33	30.30%
347	Block 2036, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	58	0	0.00%	58	36.21%
348	Block 2088, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	36	0	0.00%	36	38.89%

	A	C	D	E	F	J
7	City	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino Population	Percent Non-Hispanic Minority
349	Block 2091, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	14	0	0.00%	14	57.14%
350	Block 2127, Block Group 2, Census Tract 269.09	3	0	0.00%	3	66.67%
351	Source: 2010 Census Summary File 1. P9. "Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race: Total Population".					