

**The next meeting date will be Thursday 27, at the
Mn/DOT Waters Edge Office, Conference Room 176 from 12:30pm to 3:00pm.**

**MINNESOTA COMMITTEE ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES
JANUARY 12, 2011
WATERS EDGE**

Attendees:

Janelle Anderson
George Calebaugh
Joe Gustafson
Heather Lott
Jeff Miller
Ken Schroepfer

Explained Absence:

Dharam Bobra
Tim Chalupnik
Marc Culver
Howard Preston
Mark Sehr
Will Stein
Mike Weiss

Unexplained Absence:

Rob Egge
Paul St. Martin



Guests:

cc:

Susan Groth
Kristi Sebastian

Meeting started at 12:40 PM

Announcements

None

Business from the Floor

None.

Correction to the Minutes

None

Old Business

1. FHWA Update

There was nothing to report at this time.

2. Requests for Experimentation

Janelle Anderson announced that there is really nothing new to report at this time.

3. Minnesota MUTCD 2011 edition, Chapter 2D – Guide Signs—Conventional Roads

Janelle started the review of Chapter 2D. See attachment 1.

a. Section 2D.11-Design of Route Signs, third Standard, third paragraph (page 12) – It was suggested that since Minnesota always includes a drawing of its state route sign, this paragraph could be removed. It was agreed.

b. Section 2D.11-Design of Route Signs, fourth Standard, third paragraph (page 13) –

There was some discussion regarding some minor changes to the paragraph. It was agreed that it should now read as follows:

When used with other route markers in common assemblies, the M1-X4 County Route Marker shall be the same size as that of the other route markers.

- c. Section 2D.11-Design of Route Signs, third Option, (page 14) – It was suggest that this paragraph be clarified since there are 2 different county route markers. The paragraph was changed to read as follows:

When used on a green guide sign, a yellow square or rectangle may be placed behind the pentagon shaped County Route Marker (M1-6) to improve contrast.

- d. Section 2D.12-Design of Route Sign Auxiliaries, first Guidance (page 15) – It was suggest that the second sentence be removed.
- e. Section 2D.48-Park–Ride Sign (D4-2) – third Standard, first sentence (page 47) – It was suggest that the word “retroreflective” be removed because all signs installed on a roadway are required to be retroreflective.

Those present approved all of the FHWA changes and the task force recommendations and the changes to them as discussed above.

New Business

- 1. **TEO Signing Committee**

There was no report to be made.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:50 PM.

Next Meeting

The next meeting date will be Thursday, January 27, at the Mn/DOT Waters Edge Office, Conference Room 176 from 12:30pm to 3:00pm.