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Application Materials

Please contact Tara Olds for a PDF copy of the application form.

Tara Olds
MnDOT State Aid
(651) 366-3830
Tara.Olds@state.mn.us

Submittal Instructions

Email application packets (preferably PDF) to SafetyProject.DOT@state.mn.us by November 27, 2019.

Funds Available

The Office of Traffic Engineering is soliciting for HSIP funding for the years 2021 through 2024. See tables below for approximate HSIP funds available by ATP.

This solicitation is the only way for HSIP funds to be applied to a project.

OTE strongly encourages submitting more projects than the minimum targets listed as savings can provide more dollars for quality projects. If funds are left unallocated in the first two years of the STIP after this solicitation, those funds may go to a project that can be delivered in the necessary timeframe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATP</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>265,500</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>341,500</td>
<td>564,000</td>
<td>2,715,000</td>
<td>3,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,111,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>198,000</td>
<td>1,465,500</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
<td>2,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>993,500</td>
<td>767,000</td>
<td>755,500</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>234,500</td>
<td>604,500</td>
<td>882,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,767,500</td>
<td>5,212,000</td>
<td>6,718,000</td>
<td>10,800,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>Solicitation will be sent out to all eligible agencies early September.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September – November</td>
<td>Each eligible agency selects projects and compiles an application packet based on the criteria guidelines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 27</td>
<td>Applications should be submitted to OTE by November 27.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December – January</td>
<td>A Selection Committee will review each application for compliance with HSIP criteria guidelines. A preliminary list of prioritized projects will be developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January – February</td>
<td>Preliminary list of selected projects is reviewed by MPOs where applicable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February (end)</td>
<td>Notification is sent to applicants and respective planning offices announcing selected projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>Selected projects enter the STIP review and publication process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eligibility

Requirements

The Highway Safety Improvement Plan (HSIP) selection committee will evaluate each application, prioritize and determine the best funding source for each. Independent of the source from which funding will be secured; certain requirements must be met to receive funding.

1. Applications must be received on or before November 27, 2019.

2. Federal funds listed above are available to Greater Minnesota counties and agencies within those counties with the ability to receive State Aid; any non-State Aid submitting agency must be sponsored by their respective county.

3. Only stand-alone projects will be considered. It is recognized that portions of larger projects have elements that improve the safety of an intersection or section of roadway. Safety features, such as guardrail, that are routinely provided as part of a broader project should be funded from the same source as the broader project.

4. Applicants submitting a proactive/systemic project identified in a County Road Safety Plan need only fill out the application form, attach the appropriate pages from the plan, and provide a letter from any other agencies involved in the project.

Applicants submitting a reactive/spot location must include a benefit-cost calculation. See Appendix B and procedure documented in the Traffic Engineering Manual (TEM).

5. Projects submitted in coordination with a MnDOT District must be evaluated using the District HSIP Scoring Criteria documented in District Announcement: www.mndot.gov/trafficeng/safety/hsip.html.

6. Applicants must indicate roadway and specify both a beginning and ending point; this will expedite the environmental review and historical site evaluation process.

7. Applicants must agree to maintain any selected projects for the life of the project; see Appendix C for FHWA Recommended Service Life tables.

Reactive Projects

Reactive projects will be considered but must have a B/C greater than 1.00 to be considered for funding. Locations must have a significant crash history that includes a fatal or serious injury crashes in the prior 5 years.

Contact Tara Olds for more information on how to conduct this benefit-cost analysis.
Ineligible Projects

- Road Safety Audits
- Overlays
- Guardrail Updates
- Sign Upgrades
- “Force Account” work: all projects must be done by a qualified contractor through the design-bid-build process.

Edgeline restriping projects will be considered for 6-inch edgelines only; these projects will be selected based on risk as identified in the County Road Safety Plans.

New or reconstructed signals will be considered if they meet the criteria contained in Appendix D

Project Selection

County Road Safety Plans

All low-cost/high-impact projects will be considered. The County Road Safety Plan (CRSP) should be the starting point for identifying projects. Higher priority sites documented in any CRSP will be given priority.

Please include the project sheet from your County Road Safety Plan in the application. If a project deviates from the CRSP recommendation or is a County Nominated project, include documentation of the decision process and factors considered in project development.

Other Safety Planning

Projects that are part of other safety planning (e.g. Road Safety Audits, other safety plan) specifically focused on reducing fatalities and serious injuries will be considered. If not a County Road Safety Plan, please include a relevant excerpt from the plan.

Application Form

NOTE: The application form has minor changes from the 2018 form. Please contact Tara Olds with questions.

In reviewing the solicitation process, the Office of Traffic Engineering recognized that forms used in prior solicitations did not conform to best practices. Applicants were asked to enter redundant information with little structure on what supporting documentation would be most useful to the selection committee.

The application form is simplified to a one-page cover sheet for your application packet. Key evaluation metrics will be based on information provided on this sheet: please enter information (or N/A) on all lines. Metrics not provided will be considered null.

Supporting documentation is critical to understanding the scope and details of the project, countermeasure, and location. The Selection Committee is unable to ask for supplemental information during selection.
**Application Fields**

The application form has minor edits from the 2018 solicitation to improve project selection.

**MPO**

If any portion of the proposed project falls within the boundaries of a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), enter the name of the MPO here. A link is provided detailing the 8 Minnesota MPOs.

**Joint Project**

Check the box if the proposed project includes a partnership between multiple agencies (e.g. multiple counties, MnDOT district and local, etc.)

**Estimated Outputs**

Enter an estimate for the number of miles, intersections, and/or curves improved as appropriate. For example, a lane departure strategy installed over 28.4 miles may cross multiple intersections and curves, but if the is not intended to improve safety at intersections the value would be zero intersections.

**Roadway Jurisdictions**

Check the box next to the roadway jurisdiction if any portion of the project improves that roadway type. Multiple jurisdictions may be checked.

“Describe any prioritization used in site selection”

Briefly describe any rationale used in prioritizing which sites were included and which were not.

- Example 1: if submitting from a County Road Safety Plan it is sufficient to state “high priority sites were X stars and above.”

- Example 2: if submitting a project as part of a long term safety plan, please detail any goals, e.g. “part of a long range strategy to improve A over the next B years.”

**Estimated Costs by Funding Source**

Provide estimates for the requested HSIP federal funds on the Federal line. Enter the amount of non-federal match on the Match line. **If the estimates submitted does not match CRSP estimates, please update any sheets submitted with the current numbers and submit documentation on current bid prices.**

**Supporting Documents**

Check any supporting documents that are included in the application. Common and suggested documentation is provided; if including other information, please enter a description.
Appendix A – Resources

Annual HSIP Report

FHWA maintains annual reports on the Highway Safety Improvement Program within each state. These reports highlight successes and challenges in administering the program and meeting performance measures. www.safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/reports

Benefit/Cost Ratio

To facilitate the calculation of a benefit/cost ratio, OTE has provided a worksheet available online at www.mndot.gov/trafficeng/safety/hsip.html. This worksheet is required for reactive project applications. Contact Tara Olds for more information on how to conduct this type of analysis for reactive projects.

NOTE: please verify the Cost per Crash amounts against the crash costs provided by MnDOT OTSM; for the purposes of this solicitation, the cost of a Fatal crash will be equal to double that of a Serious Injury (A) crash.

Crash Costs

Crash costs are maintained by MnDOT Office of Transportation System Management (OTSM) online at “Benefit-Cost Analysis for Transportation Projects,” Appendix A: www.mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

NOTE: for the purposes of this solicitation, the cost of a Fatal crash will be equal to double that of a Serious Injury (A) crash and not the value published online, i.e. fatal = $1,360,000 and serious injury = $680,000.

Crash Data

Five years of crash data is appropriate. NOTE: Remember that after 2016, the fields and codes for crash data are not identical. If you are unsure, double-check against a data dictionary for the correct codes.

Crash Modification Factor (CMF)

Only necessary for reactive projects. Crash Modification Factors, i.e. recommended percent change in crashes, should be referenced from FHWA’s CMF Clearinghouse: www.cmfclearinghouse.org. If multiple CMFs are provided, please provide a brief one to three sentence explanation of how the CMF provided was selected.

Minnesota Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), 2014

See “Appendix A: Focus Area Fact Sheets” (page 39) for a statewide summary of focus area trends and crash characteristics. See “Appendix C: Detailed Crash Data and Methodology for Analysis” (page 136) for focus area definitions and codes using crash data prior to 2016. www.mndot.gov/trafficeng/safety/shsp/Minnesota_SHSP_2014.pdf
Traffic Engineering Manual (TEM)

www.mndot.gov/trafficeng/publ/tem/index.html


### Appendix B – Sample Benefit-Cost Calculations (Reactive Projects ONLY)

In the interest of standardizing the calculation of an annual costs, the following inputs are default for HSIP submission worksheet, available online at [www.mndot.gov/trafficeng/safety/hsip.html](http://www.mndot.gov/trafficeng/safety/hsip.html):

- **Traffic Growth Factor:** 0.5% (This default is a conservative statewide average. The worksheet can input a different value but additional documentation will be required if modified.)
- **Discount Rate:** 2%
- **Salvage Value of Right of Way and Change in Maintenance Costs:** negligible.

#### HSIP worksheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Section</th>
<th>T.H. / Roadway</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Beginning Ref. Pt.</th>
<th>Ending Ref. Pt.</th>
<th>State, County, City or Township</th>
<th>Study Period Begins</th>
<th>Study Period Ends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-494</td>
<td>Portland Ave to Nicollet Ave</td>
<td>Hennepin Co.</td>
<td>3+00.848</td>
<td>4+00.357</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/1/2012</td>
<td>12/31/2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Description of Proposed Work

Construct Westbound auxiliary lane between Portland and Nicollet.

#### ACCIDENT DIAGRAM CODES

- **Real:**
  - B (Property Damage (PD))
  - C (Personal Injury (PI))

- **PD:**
  - A
  - B
  - C

#### Change in Crashes

- **PI:**
  - A
  - B
  - C

#### Year (Safety Improvement Construction)

| 2018 |

#### Project Cost (exclude Right of Way)

- **$600,000**

#### Right of Way Costs (optional)

- **$1,140,000**

#### Traffic Growth Factor

- **0.5%**

#### Capital Recovery

- **$170,000**

#### Discount Rate

- **2%**

#### Project Service Life (n)

- **30**

#### B/C = 1.66

---

Office of Traffic, Safety and Technology
August 2015
Appendix C – Recommended Service Life Tables

Service life in years for a sample of safety installations. This list should not be considered exhaustive of safety countermeasures eligible for HSIP funding.

*Intersection and Traffic Control*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Life</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Construct turning lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Provide traffic channelization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Improve sight distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Install traffic signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Install pavement marking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Install delineators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Install illumination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Upgrade traffic signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Install new traffic signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Retime coordinated system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Construct roundabout</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Life</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Construct sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Construct pedestrian and bicycle overpass/underpass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Install fencing or pedestrian barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Construct bikeway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Roadway and Roadside*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Life</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Widen travel way (no lanes added)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Add lane(s) to travel way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Construct median for traffic separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Widen or improve shoulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Realign roadway (except at railroads)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Overlay for skid treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Groove pavement for skid treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Service Life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Life</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Install breakaway sign supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Install breakaway utility poles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Relocate utility poles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Install guardrail end treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Upgrade guardrail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Upgrade or install concrete median barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Upgrade or install high tension cable median barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Install impact attenuators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Flatten or re-grade side slopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Install bridge approach guardrail transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Remove obstacles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Install edge treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Install centerline rumble strips</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Life</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Widen or modify bridge for safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Replace bridge for safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Construct new bridge for safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Replace/improve minor structure for safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Upgrade bridge rail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D – HSIP and Signals

Revised October 10, 2012

In most cases, traffic signals are not safety control devices. They assign right of way for vehicles and are necessary for operational purposes. However, in some cases they can improve safety. The objective of the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is to “reduce the occurrence of and the potential for fatalities and serious injuries resulting from crashes on all public roads” (23 CRF 924.5). Signal projects will be considered for funding provided they meet the following criteria.

Section 4 of the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices can be found at the link below: www.mndot.gov/trafficeng/publ/mutcd/mnmutcd2014/mnmutcd-4.pdf

New Signals

Warrant 7, Crash Experience from the MMUTCD must be met. Specifically, “Five or more reported crashes, of the types susceptible to correction by a traffic control signal, have occurred within a 12-month period”. Exceptions to meeting this warrant may be made if an adequate case is made on how the new signal will reduce the number of, or potential for, fatalities and serious injuries.

All new signals shall meet current MnDOT design standards. If exceptions to incorporating these standards are necessary due to site specific conditions, explanation should be included with the application.

Installation of red light running (enforcement) lights is strongly encouraged. Installation costs are low when installed with new signals and they provide the benefit of red light running enforcement to be accomplished by one law enforcement officer, instead of two.

Documentation should be provided confirming that other intersection types were considered but are not feasible. Those considered should include intersection types that reduce the probability of severe right-angle crashes. Roundabouts restricted crossing u-turn (RCUT) intersections, and some other alternative intersection types fall into this category.

Existing Signals

Rebuilding an existing signal system is only eligible for HSIP funding if it is necessary for implementation of a geometric improvement (constructing new lanes). The signal system is incidental to the primary safety improvement on these projects, which is geometric.

Retiming of Signal Systems

The development and implementation of new signal timing plans for a series of signals, a corridor or the entire system is eligible.
Appendix E – Narrow Shoulder Paving Guidelines

Guidelines for HSIP-funded narrow shoulder paving in conjunction with county resurfacing projects.

The HSIP steering committee agrees that when narrow shoulder paving projects have been funded through HSIP, it makes sense under certain circumstances to do the work in conjunction with a resurfacing project, rather than as a separate, stand-alone project. The steering committee is proposing revised guidelines on this issue that will affect future project selection.

The County Road Safety Plans (CRSPs) are identifying 6 miles per county per year for narrow shoulder paving. This work involves the paving of existing aggregate or turf shoulders with 1 to 2 feet of pavement and the addition of a safety edge and a shoulder rumble strip or edgeline rumble stripe. The following guidelines are proposed for the selection of future HSIP projects on the local system:

- Narrow shoulder paving can be done in conjunction with resurfacing if the project is along one of the segments specifically identified in the CRSP for this type of work.

- The project can be at a different location than those identified in the CRSP if it is along a higher-risk segment, as identified in the CRSP. The CRSP assigns a risk rating to highway segments based on the following criteria: traffic volume, rate and density of road departure crashes, curve density and edge assessment. The risk rating ranges from 0 (lower risk) to 5 (higher risk). **If the proposed project is along a highway segment with a rating of 4 or 5, then it can be done in conjunction with a resurfacing project.** This process ensures that narrow shoulder paving is being done at locations of higher risk rather than being driven by the schedule of pavement rehabilitation projects.

- The shoulder paving must include a safety edge and either shoulder or edgeline rumble strips.

- The County should use regular construction dollars to upgrade guardrail and other safety hardware as part of the resurfacing project.

At this time, all other HSIP-funded project types on the local system will continue to be funded as separate, stand-alone projects.
Appendix F – Delegated Contract Process

A brief overview of the Delegated Contract Process (DCP) has been provided below. The outlined criteria must be completed to meet the April 15th deadline requirement for all selected projects:

1. Environmental document prepared by sponsoring agency and approved by DSAE and SALT.
2. Right of Way and Utility Relocation certificate approved or condemnation proceedings have been formally initiated*.
3. District State Aid Engineer (DSAE) approval of plans and a satisfactory review by State Aid that project plans are complete and reflect the project that was selected.
4. Engineer’s Estimate and working days estimate including how working days were computed*.
5. Request for Lab Services form*.
6. Permits received or NPDES permit application filled out by sponsoring agency*.
7. SALT requests DBE goal.
8. Plans reviewed and approved by SALT and returned to sponsoring agency with suggested changes.
9. SALT requests authorization for HSIP or HRRRP projects.
10. Bid opening can be set after authorization by SALT and sponsoring agency.
11. Sponsoring agency prepares proposal, sells project documents and advertises per State Statute (required ad language provided by SALT).
12. Bid opening should be within 90 days of authorization.
13. DBE clearance must be given by MnDOT Office of Civil Rights before project is awarded by sponsoring agency (if applicable).
14. Submit above information for all projects that will be included in the construction contract. Above Federal requirements will apply to all work included in the construction contract.

* These items are all submitted to SALT along with DSAE approved plan set.

Additional Resources

For detailed information about the FEDERAL (DCP) process, please visit our website: www.mndot.gov/stateaid/projectdelivery/pdp/dcp/dcp-checklist.pdf

If you have any questions about the Federal Aid process, please contact your DSAE or Tara Olds (SALT) at Tara.Olds@state.mn.us or (651) 366-3830.