

## Pavement & Asset Management: A State Perspective

# **Focusing on MAP-21**

2015 TERRA Pavement Conference February 12, 2015

We all have a stake in  $A \oplus B$ 













#### **MnDOT Pavement Performance Measures**

- MnDOT has had pavement condition measures and targets since 2000.
- Pavement measure is the Ride Quality Index (RQI) based on the left wheel path roughness
- Targets are based on the percent of miles in Good (RQI >= 3.0) and Poor (RQI <= 2.0) condition</li>
- The system is broken into two functional class groups:
  - Principal Arterial (includes Interstates)
  - Non-Principal Arterial



# **MAP-21**

- MAP-21, "Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century" was signed into law by President Obama on July 6, 2012.
- FHWA will establish performance measures for pavement conditions on the Interstate and National Highway System (NHS).
- MAP-21 requires each State to maintain minimum standards for Interstate pavement and bridge conditions, set by FHWA.
- As of January 2015:
  - Measures will include roughness, rutting, faulting, and percent cracking
  - No more than <u>5%</u> of a State's Interstate system can be in <u>Poor</u> condition

















#### MAP-21 versus MnDOT Pavement Performance Measures

- International Roughness Index
- Average of <u>both wheel paths</u>
- 0.1 mile segments
- One side of divided roads
- Poor:
  - IRI > 170 in/mile, population < 1M
  - IRI > 220 in/mile, population >= 1M
  - Percent Cracking > 10%
  - Rutting > 0.4 inches
  - Faulting > 0.15 inches

- Ride Quality Index
- Left wheel path
- ~<u>1 mile</u> segments
- Both sides of divided roads
- Poor:
  - RQI <= 2.0
  - IRI > 190 inches/mile for HMA
  - IRI > 168 inches/mile for PCC



### **Minnesota Trunk Highway System**

Before MAP-21								
System	Miles	Percent						
Principal Arterial	7,633	53.3%						
Non-Principal Arterial	6,674	46.7%						
Total	14,308	100.0%						

Since MAP-21								
System	Miles	Percent						
Interstate	1,821	12.7%						
Other NHS	5,812	40.6%						
Non-NHS	6,674	46.7%						
Total	14,308	100.0%						













#### **Before MAP-21**

System	Good Target	Poor Target
Principal Arterial	70% or more	2% or less
Non-Principal Arterial	65% or more	4% or less

#### **Interim MAP-21 Targets**

System	Good Target	Poor Target
Interstate	70% or more	2% or less
Other NHS	65% or more	4% or less
Non-NHS (old NPA)	60% or more	10% or less





6













# **MAP-21**

- Meetings began in the fall of 2012 to discuss what MnDOT should do regarding MAP-21.
- It was decided to try and improve the pavement conditions prior to the actual rule making in hopes of providing more flexibility.
- \$164M in new and \$56M of upgraded NHS projects and \$56M of new Non-NHS projects were added to the 2014-2017 STIP.
- Predicted impact was a reduction in the statewide percent of miles in Poor condition from 9.1% to 5.2% by the end of 2016.
- Two Programming Categories were established, SPP & DRMP















# **MnDOT Programming**

- Statewide Performance Program (SPP)
  - Pavement management and district staff identify projects on the NHS to meet state/national targets
  - Current budget for 2019-2023 averages \$155M per year
- District Risk Management Program, DRMP
  - Districts identify projects off the NHS based on local needs and risks
  - Pavement management estimates the outcome
  - Current budget for 2019-2023 averages \$140 per year

















# How are projects chosen?

- Initial run was done in 2012 to determine the amount of funding needed to meet the targets for ten years
  - Interstate: \$40M/year
  - Other NHS: \$115M/year
  - Non-NHS: \$140M/year
- The Pavement Management System (PMS) was used to create an initial list of potential projects.
- District materials engineers reviewed and modified the list.
- The final project list was plugged into the PMS to determine the expected conditions.















# Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA)

NINNESO		
	User ID:	
	Password:	
TOFTRA	15 <sup>4</sup>	Stantec





•











### **MnDOT Pavement Management System**





















#### **Predicting Future Roughness**



#### **Predicting Future Cracking**



### **Predicting the Impact of Projects**





#### **Effectiveness = Area Between Curves**



# **Sample Results**

ATP	District	Rtype	Rnum	Aux	Dir	Year	Description	From	То	Activity	Cost
3	3	IS	94		D	2020	STEARNS/WRIGHT CO LINE	178+0.173	192+0.520	Unbonded Overlay	\$ 13,000,000
3	3	IS	94		I.	2021	STEARNS/WRIGHT CO LINE	178+0.173	192+0.520	Unbonded Overlay	\$ 13,000,000
4	4	IS	94		D	2022	Ottertail/Grant Co Line to TH-79	71+0.426	83+0.070	Major CPR/Grind	\$ 6,000,000
6	6	IS	35		D	2019	.1 MI N TH-21	59+0.177	62+0.769	Medium Mill/Overlay	\$ 1,461,508
6	6	IS	90		D	2019	NEAR TH-61/DAKOTA	271+0.233	275+0.475	Medium Mill/Overlay	
6	6	IS	90		I	2019	NEAR TH-61/DAKOTA	271+0.233	272+0.259	Medium Mill/Overlay	\$ 4,038,451
6	6	IS	90		I	2022	EB from CSAH 46 to MN 105	166+0.218	175+0.812	Medium Mill/Overlay	\$ 4,700,000
6	6	IS	90		D	2019	TH-13	154+0.568	166+0.218	Medium Mill/Overlay	\$ 4,900,000
7	7	IS	90		I	2019	RP 58	58+0.000	65+0.541	Medium Mill/Overlay	\$ 3,664,672
7	7	IS	90		D	2022	TH-15/FAIRMONT	102+0.166	113+0.705	Thick Mill/Overlay	\$ 11,608,002
7	7	IS	90		I	2022	TH-15/FAIRMONT	102+0.166	113+0.783	Thick Mill/Overlay	
7	7	IS	90		D	2022	0.2 MI E CSAH-1	113+0.705	117+0.911	Medium Mill/Overlay	\$ 3,870,858
7	7	IS	90		T	2022	0.2 MI E CSAH-1	113+0.783	117+0.911	Medium Mill/Overlay	
м	м	IS	35	w	D	2019	Portland to Washington	16+0.360	18+0.100	Thin Mill/Overlay	\$ 2,274,232
м	м	IS	35	w	I	2019	Portland to Washington	16+0.360	18+0.100	Thin Mill/Overlay	
м	м	IS	35	w	D	2019	0.5 MI N CR J to Sunset	31+0.212	34+0.850	Unbonded Overlay	\$ 13,837,303
м	м	IS	35	w	Т	2019	0.5 MI N CR J to Sunset	31+0.212	34+0.850	Unbonded Overlay	

# **MAP-21 Process**







•













# **MAP-21 Process**



















# **MAP-21 Process for NHS**

Districts review the project scope and cost estimate for Year-5 projects

Adjustments are made based on the budget

•

Remaining projects in the 10-year plan are reviewed using the latest data

Make adjustments and run the predictions

District reviews/edits the list +

Run analysis to generate a new Year-10 list

Revise as needed

STIP and Work Plan finalized

#### Statewide "Good" Ride Quality Index (Miles with RQI > 2.0) Actual 2005-2014, Predicted 2015-2022



Percent of Roadway Miles

#### Statewide "Poor" Ride Quality Index (Miles with RQI <= 2.0) Actual 2005-2014, Predicted 2015-2022



Percent of Roadway Miles

# A work in progress...

- FHWA is still getting comments on the pavement and bridge performance measures and targets.
- This is our first full year using the new process to move projects into the STIP and add projects into Year 10 of the work plan
- What if the current funding does not achieve the desired results?
- Predicted conditions are greatly affected by things like "NexTen" and/or other funding proposals













# Summary...

- MnDOT has implemented a new process for pavement project selection to comply with MAP-21
- District input/expertise is critical
- Each year, projects will move into the STIP and the 10-year work plan will be adjusted based on the MAP-21 analysis.
- The process alone will not ensure we meet the MAP-21 targets. Adequate funding is also needed.













## **THANK YOU**

#### MnDOT District Material Engineers

- Rod Garver (D-1, Duluth)
- Jim Bittman (D-2, Bemidji)
- Darren Nelson (D-3, Baxter)
- Graig Gilbertson (D-4, Detroit Lakes)
- Tom Meath (D-6 Rochester)
- John Hager (D-7, Mankato)
- Lowell Flaten (D-8, Willmar)
- Chris Kufner, Tim Clyne, Jerry Geib (Metro)



















# **Questions?**

#### David Janisch Mn/DOT Pavement Management Engineer (651) 366-5567 dave.janisch@state.mn.us