



CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Proposer: Walsh-AB

Technical Proposal	Maximum Potential Points	Technical Proposal Score
➤ Quality (50%)		
Experience & Authority of Key Individuals	20	15
Extent of Quality Control / Quality Assurance	10	7.5
Safety	10	7.6
Measures to Evaluate Performance in Construction	10	7.0
➤ Aesthetics (20%)		
Enhancements to the RFP	10	8.20
Approach to involve stakeholders	10	7.30
➤ Enhancements (15%)		
Geometric Enhancements	10	7.90
Structural Enhancements	5	3.35
➤ Public Relations (15%)	15	13.2
TOTAL	100	77.05

I hereby certify that the scores reflected above indicate my personal assessment and evaluation of the Technical Proposal for the above mentioned Proposer.

Evaluator Signature: *Ch Keech*

Date: 9/19/07

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Proposer.

Auditor Signature: *Ronno R. Garcia*

Date: 9/19/07



Walsh TO'

CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

**APPENDIX D
Individual Rating / Scoring
Sheets**



Proposer: *Walsh*

CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Evaluator: *Tom O'Keefe*

Evaluation Category QUALITY	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (91-100)	Very Good (76-90)	Good (61-75)	Fair (50-60)	Falls (0-49)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)	Comments
Experience and Authority of Key Individuals > Manager, Lead Bridge Design Manger, Construction Manager, Quality Management Team on similar projects. > Authority being proposed.	20			-75			15	<i>untitled, default Asst PM</i> PM - 33yrs, Role St Louis Bay Br? Roles, responsibilities? Calls Sr PM. Good intvw LBO M - 29yrs Cited exp doesn't include design? Not much steel bridge, not lead designer CM - 28yrs, Superintendent + for Woodruff, Foy Howell bridges. OB?, role on St Louis? QM - 26yrs mostly QM not a bridges or highways, Constr QC not ind on any charts UQM - 15yrs Had US hwy or bridge cited, not mentioned in intvw PIC - Exp, Strategic Not much work together cited for team - Sm, pm, cm make decisions on recordable incidents EC between PM - MNDOT?
Extent of Quality Control / Quality Assurance > Design and construction relationships necessary to meet the project goals.	10			-75			7.5	QM reports to EC typical MNDOT QM templates id'd Dgn QA mtr id'd, Separate QRC M mentioned No descr of Dgn ↔ Constr relationships No holdpoints id'd Pre dgn drawings OK for constr? Intvw - QC: QA with firms, Dgn QC by diff firm for dgn firm
Safety > Approach and commitments towards implementing a safety incentive program on the project.	10						7.6	Not clear if incentive program in addn to MNDOT Some desc of lvs of audit SM, PM, CM determine what are recordable injuries, not just SM for incentive purposes only Daily toolbox meetings = new hire training
Measures to Evaluate Performance in Construction > Objective measures that will be performed to insure the constructed product meets or exceeds the contract requirements.	10			-70			7.0	Qual Measures - RFI bid?? Prelim plans OK for constr? Pub Info Measures id'd Quality measures - OK



CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Proposer: *Wash*

Evaluator: *Tom O'Keefe*

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points						Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)	Comments
		Excellent (91-100)	Very Good (76-90)	Good (61-75)	Fair (50-60)	Falls (0-49)		
> Commitments to enhance the aesthetic requirements in the RFP.	10		.82				8.20	<i>Not much commitment, ltd landscaping opps noted. Piers too massive, responsive to RFP VQAT- Andrew ltd experience, no river xing noted, no connxn to Pub Affairs Don't appear to have worked together Fairing better in interview visualization</i>
> Approach and commitments to involving stakeholders into the design process	10			.73			7.30	<i>Involvement w VQAT, not general public - came across better @ intvw - more about consent than input Id'd what parts of dgs can wait for pub. inv PM involved in these public discussions</i>



CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Proposer: *Wabco*

Evaluator: *Tom O'Keefe*

Evaluation Category ENHANCEMENTS	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (91-100)	Very Good (76-90)	Good (61-75)	Fair (50-60)	Fails (0-49)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)	Comments
Geometric Enhancements > Eliminate or minimize design exceptions. > Improve future clearances of I-35W at University Avenue > Improve future clearance of I-35W at 4 th Street. > Enhancements meet the requirements of Book 2, Section 11.	10		.79				7.90	<i>Appears to meet RFP reqs though some ^{mainline} cramp profiles not provided for verification 2nd Sr relocated, profile severe doesn't meet sag criteria</i>
Structural Enhancements > Innovative procedures and/or materials to minimize the life cycle costs of maintaining the corridor and to maximize the benefits to road users > Consideration of context sensitive design principals	5			.67			3.35	<i>MSE - as proposed better allow settlement & CSD - concerns to mesh a maintenance concern - longest bridge => maintenance - steel girder deck maint - add'l pier gullitts concern - fairing is a maint concern - proposed deck reinforcement not MnDOT standard long. or transverse</i>



CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Proposer: *Walsh*

Evaluator: *Tom O'Keefe*

Evaluation Category PUBLIC RELATIONS	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (91-100)	Very Good (76-90)	Good (61-75)	Fair (50-60)	Fails (0-49)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)	Comments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Qualifications and experience of the Public Information Coordinator. ➤ Approach and commitment to involve stakeholders, designers, and construction personnel into the public relations process. ➤ Approach and commitments to mitigate nighttime construction noise impacts on nearby residences. 	15		1.8				13.2	<i>Doty, Coransu, exp. Doty not highways, Coransu not MA, Miller Park memo 11/07</i> <i>Effectiveness measures, Ltd DB exp., No evidence of having worked together</i> <i>Apparent Ltd inc. of PM, CM, LBDM</i> <i>Public Info Coord co-housed, other commitments?</i> <i>Outreach specialist</i> <i>Instr good understanding of stakeholders</i> <i>Good use of MMDOT in Pub Info/A-</i>