



CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Proposer: WALSH

Technical Proposal	Maximum Potential Points	Technical Proposal Score
➤ Quality (50%)		
Experience & Authority of Key Individuals	20	12.00 ✓
Extent of Quality Control / Quality Assurance	10	7.00 ✓
Safety	10	6.50 ✓
Measures to Evaluate Performance in Construction	10	6.20 ✓
➤ Aesthetics (20%)		
Enhancements to the RFP	10	5.00 ✓
Approach to involve stakeholders	10	2.50 ✓
➤ Enhancements (15%)		
Geometric Enhancements	10	6.00 ✓
Structural Enhancements	5	3.00 ✓
➤ Public Relations (15%)	15	7.50 ✓
TOTAL	100	55.70 ✓

I hereby certify that the scores reflected above indicate my personal assessment and evaluation of the Technical Proposal for the above mentioned Proposer.

Evaluator Signature:  Date: 9/19/07

I hereby certify that I have audited this evaluation form for the above mentioned Proposer.

Auditor Signature:  Date: 9/19/07



Proposer: Walsh / AB

CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Evaluator: Kevin Western

Evaluation Category QUALITY	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (91-100)	Very Good (76-90)	Good (61-75)	Fair (50-60)	Fails (0-49)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)	Comments
Experience and Authority of Key Individuals > Manager, Lead Bridge Design Manger, Construction Manager, Quality Management Team on similar projects. > Authority being proposed.	20				60		12.0	Lead Bridge Designer - good experience with concrete, nothing listed for long span steel. Does not appear team has significant experience working as a "team" on other projects. Authority not well stated. Project M - limited Exec Committee - between PM/MDor - SSM, PM, CM - determine recordable safety events - it's fine but not a plus that's bad, should be SSM CM - Nulen - limited experience DM - Bale - very limited experience VA - low consideration QC not shown.
Extent of Quality Control / Quality Assurance > Design and construction relationships necessary to meet the project goals.	10			70			7.0	Talk about expediting but limited discussion on improving on quality requirements. Prelim plans released for construction is issue, Not well enough defined (In interview started this was over the shoulder review). - Construction QA/QC under one person (not good) - - They have QC peer review. No steel QA/QC
Safety > Approach and commitments towards implementing a safety incentive program on the project.	10			65			6.5	No dedicated \$ but they mention cash incentives, No discussion of training plan. o Hire (New), daily, specialty items. o Annual Safety Award. o No audits
Measures to Evaluate Performance in Construction <i>Method</i> Objective measures that will be performed to insure the constructed product meets or exceeds the contract requirements.	10			62			6.2	- Non-conformance reports - need more timely - Fall test tracking - Reduction in RFT or RFS - We want them! - Supplier & sub adherence to quality - good.

31.7



CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Proposer:

Walsh / AB

Evaluator:

Kevin Western

Evaluation Category	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (91-100)	Very Good (76-90)	Good (61-75)	Fair (50-60)	Fails (0-49)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)	Comments
> Commitments to enhance the aesthetic requirements in the RFP.	10				50		9.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pier walls don't allow viewing on river. Didn't take a stand on pier textures, etc. and did not state level of input to decide. Detract from river / not supplement. Andrews - too limited of experience Stainless steel not appropriately tied to location (lipstick only!) Trees (with heights) not appropriate (150-200' tall) Choppy height transition Drop @ Pier 3 not allowed (they did cover w/ cladding). <p align="right">- Visualization was better @ giving vision than proposal. (-) View from 45° angle will show beams too No description of walls-</p>
> Approach and commitments to involving stakeholders into the design process	10					25	2.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion of work with V&T ^{but} there but no authority is given for them to select. Did not get input from stakeholders. only V&T Gave listings of non-critical path items that <u>may</u> be considered.

7.5



CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Proposer:

Walsh/AB

Evaluator:

KEVIN WESTERN

Evaluation Category ENHANCEMENTS	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (91-100)	Very Good (76-90)	Good (61-75)	Fair (50-60)	Fails (0-49)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)	Comments
Geometric Enhancements > Eliminate or minimize design exceptions. > Improve future clearances of I-35W at University Avenue > Improve future clearance of I-35W at 4 th Street. > Enhancements meet the requirements of Book 2, Section 11.	10				60		6.0	<p><i>Dis</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frail & open rail shown. • Relocation into tunnel area a problem. • Park in Dredge Area. • 2nd St curve creates issues with safety, geometric criteria not met. - Weak in meeting issues @ North END • The future north end profile not given, so hard to evaluate.
Structural Enhancements > Innovative procedures and/or materials to minimize the life cycle costs of maintaining the corridor and to maximize the benefits to road users > Consideration of context sensitive design principals	5				60		3.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No security accounting done. + Deflection controlling - Removing fill - MSEs with precast panels - that deal has been problematic = Transition @ pier - load = Bars in deck - not a benefit. - Corrosion Control. + Stray current. <p align="right"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Deck drainages - too many. - Scour w/ non-parallel piers. - Fairing is a long-term maintenance issue, also connection to beams, inspection & longevity. </p>

60
KMK

9.0



Proposer:

WALS

CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Evaluator: Kevin Western

Evaluation Category PUBLIC RELATIONS	Maximum Potential Points	Excellent (91-100)	Very Good (76-90)	Good (61-75)	Fair (50-60)	Fails (0-49)	Evaluator's Technical Proposal Score (Max Points X Score)	Comments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Qualifications and experience of the Public Information Coordinator. ➤ Approach and commitment to involve stakeholders, designers, and construction personnel into the public relations process. ➤ Approach and commitments to mitigate nighttime construction noise impacts on nearby residences. 	15				50		7.50	<p>on bridge project</p> <p>Doty has little similar experience. Goranson good experience with WISDOT. KN (Doty) has experience.</p> <p>Interest in aesthetics. Not tied to proposal. Taking input but what do you do with it?</p> <p>- Team did not seem to be integrated.</p> <p>- Avoid & notify, no mitigation</p> <p>- Limited on noise & light mitigation</p>



CONFIDENTIAL
St. Anthony Falls (35W) Bridge
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

Walsh/AB

APPENDIX D
Individual Rating / Scoring
Sheets