



Timeline

November 6, 2001	Commissioner decides to use design-build, best value for ROC 52
December 2001	HDR joins ROC 52 team to develop RFQ and RFP
December 18, 2001	RFQ issued
May 24, 2002	RFP issued
September 27, 2002	Proposals received through October 28, 2002
October 2002	Technical Review Committee evaluated technical proposals
November 1, 2002	Prices opened

Design-Build Teams

<u>Granite/McCrossan, JV</u> Granite Construction Company C.S. McCrossan, Inc. Parsons Transportation Group, Inc. Edwards & Kelcey, Inc.	<u>Rochester Partners, JV</u> Lunda Construction Company Shafer Contracting Co. Inc. TranSystems Corporation DMJM+HARRIS Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc. (SEH)	<u>Silver Lake Constructors, JV</u> Obayashi Corporation Johnson Bros. Corporation CH2M HILL Constructors, Inc. CH2M HILL, Inc. James Cape & Sons, Inc. Ulland Bros., Inc.	<u>Zumbro River Constructors, LLC</u> Fluor Enterprises, Inc. Ames Construction, Inc. Edward Kraemer & Sons, Inc. URS Corporation
--	---	--	---

Technical Review Committee and Advisors

<u>Voting Members</u>		<u>Advisors</u>	
Jon Chiglo, Chair	Mn/DOT District Project Engineer	Kevin Kliethermes	FHWA
Terry Ward	Mn/DOT District Resident Engineer	Kevin Anderson	Mn/DOT Design-Build Bridge Engineer
Richard Freese	City of Rochester	Dave Rettner	Mn/DOT Geotechnical Engineer
Don Orgeman	Mn/DOT Office of Construction & Contract Administration	John Bourne	General Engineering Consultant Representative
Paul Huston	Mn/DOT Design-Build Program Manager	Jim Johnson	American Council of Engineering Companies
Kaye Bieniek	Olmsted County	Paul Stembler	Minnesota
Wayne Murphy	Associated General Contractors of Minnesota	Gary Foyt	Department of Administration
		Doug Jackson	HDR Engineering, Inc.
		Keith Quernemoen	HDR Engineering, Inc.
			HDR Engineering, Inc.

Proposal Evaluation Scoring Categories

<u>Project Management (40%)</u> Quality Procedures Proposer Organizational Structure Management Experience and Commitment Safety and Health Plan and Safety Record Partnering Approach and Commitment Public Involvement and Community Outreach Cost Control System Claims Adjustment Methodology Management Strategies and Colocation Integration of Subcontractors and Third Parties	<u>Project Approach and Understanding (30%)</u> Understanding of the Project Roadway Geometry and Earthwork Plan Geotechnical Considerations Environmental Permitting and Mitigation of Water Quality and Floodplains Hazardous Materials Management Aesthetics and Landscaping Utilities Construction Staging Drainage Bridges and Structures Maintenance of Traffic	<u>Project Schedule (25%)</u> Procedures for Tracking Progress Meeting (and Advance Completion of) Completion Dates Incorporation of Schedule of Values into Schedule	<u>Alternative Technical Concepts and Innovation (5%)</u> Benefits and advantages of each ATC Approach to implementing the ATC Approach to long-term maintenance Approach to safety and design Other innovations to the Project
--	--	--	--

Adjusted Score Calculation

EXAMPLE		EXAMPLE	
<u>Design-Build Team xyz</u>		<u>Design-Build Team xyz</u>	
Technical Proposal Score	Score	Technical Proposal Score	90.00
Base Technical Concept Price	BTC\$	Base Technical Concept Price	\$1000.00
Alternate Technical Concept Prices		Alternate Technical Concept Prices	
ATC a	+/- a\$	ATC a	-\$100.00
ATC b	+/- b\$	ATC b	+\$100.00
ATC c	+/- c\$	ATC c	-\$100.00
Adjusted Price	BTC\$ +/- a\$ +/- b\$ +/- c\$	Adjusted Price	\$900.00
Adjusted Score	Adjusted Price / Score	Adjusted Score	10.00