



Minnesota Department of Transportation
TH52 Oronoco Design-Build Project
S.P. 5508-84
RFP Clarification

Date: 8/18/05

Clar#: 8

Clarification No.	Volume & Section	Question or Comment	Mn/DOT Response
8-1	Draft Building Lease	Paragraph 7 in the Draft Lease allows Mn/DOT to cancel the lease with 30 days notice. If Proposers opt to use this facility, it will be necessary that we be assured that it is available until no longer required by the contract.	The Draft Lease is not part of the RFP. A response to this question will be provided in a separate correspondence to Proposers.
8-2	Book 2A, Section 4.2.3.2.7, Exhibit C & Clarification 6-5	The Wetland Permit Application attachments state that 14 types of woody trees and shrubs will be planted as part of the public water mitigation site. Will Mn/DOT please provide a baseline number of trees and shrubs that Proposers can include in their bid?	The coverage rate shall be 450 seedlings per acre. The mix proportion shall be as previously clarified. This will be clarified in an addendum.
8-3	Book 2A Section 4.2.3.2.10	Will Mn/DOT require riprap along the toe of the slope of the new northbound lanes where it abuts Lake Shady for erosion protection? There is no specific Mn/DOT design requirement along a body of water where there is no significant flow, rather it seems to be an item left up to the discretion of the Mn/DOT Project Manager. It would be helpful to all Proposers for Mn/DOT to specify their preference during this bidding phase.	Yes, riprap will be required. Riprap shall be placed to an elevation that is 2 feet above the highwater elevation.

Clarification No.	Volume & Section	Question or Comment	Mn/DOT Response
8-4	Book 2A, Section 8.3.11.3, Project Specific Requirements	<p>Paragraph 4 prescribes the requirements for rock cuts with carbonate rock faces. A specific location of a Shakopee limestone outcrop is identified from station 1820 to 1824 (leftside and subgrade) with specific slope, ditch and bench requirements. Other investigations have determined carbonate rock elsewhere within the project between ~ stations 1853 to 1870 (leftside and subgrade). What slope, ditch and bench requirements will be required and/or allowed for these areas along mainline, frontage roads and access roads?</p> <p>Is there any special trench requirements for pipe installations in these areas? Will bedding cases 1-4 for culverts crossing roadways be applicable within these areas?</p>	<p>For slope, ditch, and bench requirements, please refer to the TH 52 – Oronoco Soils Letter in the RID.</p> <p>Please clarify which standard or section of the RFP is referenced in the question, “Will bedding cases 1-4.....be applicable....?”</p>
8-5	Book 2B Section 13.2.1.2	The retaining wall required along the West Frontage Road appears to be in a flood plain. Does Technical Memorandum Number 03-16-MRR- 06 apply to this Project, (particularly where it states that MSE walls shall not be used where there is scour or erosion potential or there is groundwater within the reinforced fill zone or footing area)?	Yes.
8-6	ITP Form 16	Historically MN DOT has requested letters from a Proposer’s surety. However, Form 16 is a bid bond form. Are letters from our surety still required ?	See ITP sections 4.4.2.3 and 4.4.2.4

Clarification No.	Volume & Section	Question or Comment	Mn/DOT Response
8-7	ITP Form 20	Is the Guaranty Form to be completed by the Proposer or the Proposer's surety ? I assume from the language in this document the Guarantor is a Proposer's surety ?	The Guarantor can be any entity that meets Guarantor requirements and is willing to commit to provide a Guaranty as indicated in Form 20. Proposer organizations that are required to submit a Guaranty are limited in the ITP. See ITP 4.3.3.2 (r) regarding what type of proposer organizations are required to submit a Guaranty.