Minnesota Department of Transportation
Bridges of Mower County (I90)
Design-Build Project
S.P. 5080-156
RFQ Clarification

Date:_____2/24/09_____

Clarification#:_____3_____

Clarification No.	Volume & Section	Question or Comment	Mn/DOT Response
3-1	2.4	At the project meeting on 2-20-09 the audience was asked if anyone had any questions of SEH. To what level can we discuss aspects of this project with SEH while avoiding potential conflict of interest?	As per Section 2.4 of the RFQ, all inquiries are to be directed to the Mn/DOT Project Manager. After the short listing process, if teams desire to meet with SEH and discuss their design products, meetings can be scheduled for each team by the Mn/DOT Project Manager.
3-2	2.4	What officials of the City of Austin are we prohibited from discussing the issues/priorities they have on this project?	As per Section 2.4 of the RFQ, all inquiries are to be directed to the Mn/DOT Project Manager. After the short listing process, if teams desire to meet with officials from the City of Austin to discuss their issues/priorities, meetings can be scheduled for each team by the Mn/DOT Project Manager.
3-3	2.8	Since AET is currently performing additional geotechnical work for Mn/DOT on this project, I assume they cannot participate on any team? Would their participation/inclusion on a team as a geotechnical consultant be considered a conflict of interest?	See RFQ Clarification 2 dated 02.20.09 for Mn/DOT's answer to this question. AET is not working for Mn/DOT under the GEC; therefore, the answer to the above referenced Clarification would be applicable to their work on this project for the Department.