




**RECOMMENDATION:**  
Retain both for more consideration.

**Figure 2**  
**Interchange Concept 1A**  
New Ulm



**CH2MHILL** 500 feet August 2004





**RECOMMENDATION:**  
Retain 1B.1 for more consideration;  
eliminate 1B.2 (transitions up/down the  
bluff at a location that fails to satisfy  
access management goals).

**Figure 3**  
**Interchange Concept 1B**  
New Ulm

CH2MHILL 500 feet August 2004





**RECOMMENDATION:**  
Eliminate (split diamond layout is not necessary and not compatible with the rural nature of the area).

**Figure 4**  
**Interchange Concept 1C**  
New Ulm







**RECOMMENDATION:**  
Retain both for more consideration.

**Figure 5**  
**Interchange Concept 1D**  
New Ulm







**RECOMMENDATION:**  
Retain both for more consideration.

**Figure 6**  
**Interchange Concept 1E**  
New Ulm







**RECOMMENDATION:**  
Retain for more consideration.

**Figure 7**  
**Interchange Concept 2A**  
**Courtland**

