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Memo

Date: 12/20/2018

To: Bridge Design Engineers

From: Arielle Ehrlich, State Bridge Design Engineer

RE: Memo to Designers #2018-01: New 30MH, 35MH, and 40MH Prestressed Concrete Beams

Recently, MnDOT began investigating prestressed beam shapes that could be used more effectively in span ranges of 75 to 115 ft. The MH series shape that has been developed incorporates elements of several prestressed concrete beams currently in use around the country. Three beam depths have been chosen, which are designated as 30MH, 35MH, and 40MH. Based on discussions with fabricators related to obtaining forms, we have agreed to start specifying the 30MH and 35MH beams for lettings July 1, 2019 and later. The 40MH beams may be used for lettings November 1, 2019 and later.

Attached are Figures 5.4.6.1 and 5.4.6.2 that will be updated in the LRFD Bridge Design Manual (BDM) showing beam section properties and the preliminary beam selection chart for the RB, M, MH, and MN series. The 27M and the 36M beams will still be available and should continue to be used where appropriate.

Below is a listing of the Standard Plans and B-Details that were developed or modified for the new shape:

- 5-397.501  30MH Prestressed Concrete Beam
- 5-397.502  35MH Prestressed Concrete Beam
- 5-397.503  40MH Prestressed Concrete Beam
- B303      Sole Plate
- B307      Bearing Pad Restraint
- B309      Tapered Bearing Plate Assembly
- B310      Curved Plate Bearing Assembly - Fixed
- B311      Curved Plate Bearing Assembly - Expansion
- B403      Steel Intermediate Bolted Diaphragm
- B814      Concrete End Diaphragm – Parapet Abutment

These standards are currently approved and available for use.

Several criteria currently listed in the BDM for prestressed girders have been changed due to the development of the MH series and are discussed below.
Intermediate Diaphragms

Intermediate diaphragms are not required for 30MH and 35MH beams. The 40MH will follow BDM Article 5.4.1 guidelines for intermediate diaphragm spacing.

Beam End Dimensions

For MH prestressed beams, follow the guidance given in BDM Article 5.4.1 for RB, M, and MN shapes.

Camber Prediction

For MH prestressed beams, follow the guidance given in BDM Article 5.4.5 for RB, M, and MN shapes and the use of camber multipliers.

Overhang Criteria

Overhang criteria remains the same as is shown in Figure 9.2.1 of the BDM.

Bearings

The majority of guidance given in BDM Section 14 regarding bearings applies when using MH series prestressed beams. However, the minimum elastomeric pad size for MH beams is 12 inches (length A) by 30 inches (width B). In addition, BDM Tables 14.7.1, 14.7.2, and 14.7.3 have been revised to include standard B310 and B311 bearing dimensions for the MH and MW series beams. The revised tables are included as attachments to this memo.

Material Properties

Concrete, prestressing strand, and mild reinforcement properties remain as specified in the BDM. The attached charts for span length and beam spacing assume a concrete release strength ($f'_{cc}$) of 7.5 ksi and a final concrete strength ($f'_{c}$) of 9 ksi. With approval of the State Bridge Design Engineer, final concrete strengths of 10 ksi may be permitted for the MH series beams.

For questions about this policy, please contact Dave Dahlberg (dave.dahlberg@state.mn.us or (651) 366-4491) or Arielle Ehrlich (arielle.ehrlich@state.mn.us or (651) 366-4506).

cc: K. Western
    D. Dahlberg
    P. Rowekamp
    C. Lichtsinn/Design Consultants
    D. Conkel/Local Consultants

Attachments: 5-29.1, 5-29.2, 14-15, 14-16 of the LRFD Bridge Design Manual

An Equal Opportunity Employer
DECEMBER 2018 LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN 5-29.1

DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS FOR PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAM CHART:


HL-93 Live Load

Beam Concrete: \( f'_c = 9.0 \text{ ksi} \quad f'_a = 7.5 \text{ ksi} \quad w_{bm} = 0.155 \text{ kips/ft}^3 \)

\[ E_c = 1265\sqrt{f'_c} + 1000 \text{ ksi} \]

Deck Concrete: \( f'_c = 4.0 \text{ ksi} \quad E_c = 3987 \text{ ksi} \)

\( w_c = 0.145 \text{ kcf for } E_c \text{ computation} \)

\( w_c = 0.150 \text{ kcf for dead load computation} \)

0.6" diameter low relaxation strands, \( E_s = 28,500 \text{ ksi} \)

\( f_{pu} = 270 \text{ ksi} \) with initial pull of 0.75 \( f_{pu} \)

Simple supports with six beams and deck without wearing course.

Deck carries two Type S Barriers with no sidewalk or median.

Skew = 0 degrees.

Effective deck thickness is total deck thickness minus \( \frac{1}{2} \)" of wear.

1\( \frac{1}{2} \)" stool height used for composite beam section properties.

2\( \frac{1}{2} \)" average stool height used for dead load calculations.

Rail dead load applied equally to all beams.

Dead load includes 0.020 ksf future wearing course.

Approximate long term losses are used per LRFD 5.9.5.3.

Service Concrete Tensile Stress Limits:

After Initial Losses: \( 0.094\sqrt{f'_c} \leq 0.2 \text{ ksi} \)

After All Losses: \( 0.19\sqrt{f'_c} \)

---

Beam Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BEAM</th>
<th>( h ) (in)</th>
<th>AREA ( \text{(in}^2 )</th>
<th>( W ) ( \text{(lb/ft)} )</th>
<th>( \bar{y} ) (in)</th>
<th>( I ) ( \text{(in}^4 )</th>
<th>( S_B ) ( \text{(in}^3 )</th>
<th>( A_c ) ( \text{(in}^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14RB</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5,945</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18RB</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>12,640</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22RB</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>23,070</td>
<td>2,097</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>13.59</td>
<td>43,080</td>
<td>3,170</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30MH</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>70,416</td>
<td>5,155</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35MH</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td>105,570</td>
<td>6,661</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36M</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>17.96</td>
<td>93,530</td>
<td>5,208</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40MH</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>18.07</td>
<td>149,002</td>
<td>8,246</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>20.58</td>
<td>178,780</td>
<td>8,687</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>24.63</td>
<td>285,230</td>
<td>11,580</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>28.74</td>
<td>421,750</td>
<td>14,670</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \odot \) Based on 155 pounds per cubic foot.

\( \odot \) Based on a 9" slab with \( \frac{1}{2} \)" of wear and \( 1\frac{1}{2} \)" stool. See LRFD 5.8.3.4.2 for \( A_c \) definition.

---

Figure 5.4.6.1

Precast Prestressed Concrete Beam Data (RB, M, MH, MN)
Figure 5.4.6.2
Table 14.7.1
Fixed Curved Plate Bearing Assembly for Prestressed Concrete Beams (B310)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam Series</th>
<th>Max Service DL+LL (kips)</th>
<th>Bearing Pad Size (in)</th>
<th>Plain Pad Thickness (in)</th>
<th>Shape Factor</th>
<th>Bearing Plate Size (in)</th>
<th>Curved Plate Size (in)</th>
<th>Min Radius (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB, M, and MN</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>295</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>337</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>380</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>422</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21/2</td>
<td>21/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>369</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>506</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

① 34" for all "RB" and "M" series beams.
② 38" for all "MN" series beams.
③ Plates are conservatively designed for 1.75 · Max Service DL+ LL.

Table 14.7.2
Expansion Curved Plate Bearing Assembly for Prestressed Concrete Beams (B311)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam Series</th>
<th>Max Service DL+LL (Kips)</th>
<th>Bearing Pad Size (in)</th>
<th>Laminate Thickness (in)</th>
<th>Max Number of Laminates ①</th>
<th>Shape Factor</th>
<th>Bearing Plate Size (in)</th>
<th>Curved Plate Size (in)</th>
<th>Min Radius (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB, M, and MN</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>420</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>480</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>630</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

① See Table 14.7.3 for determination of required number of laminates.
② Plates are conservatively designed for 1.75 · Max Service DL+ LL.
Table 14.7.3
Elastomeric Bearing Pad Thickness for Expansion Curved Plate Bearing Assembly for Prestressed Concrete Beams (B311) ①②

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interior Laminate Thickness (in)</th>
<th>D (in) ③</th>
<th>Number of Laminates</th>
<th>Total Elastomer Thickness, ( h_{rt} ) (in) ④</th>
<th>Maximum Movement ( \Delta_s ) (in) ④</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2&quot;</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 7/8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>3 ⑤</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 5/8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 3/8</td>
<td>6 ⑥</td>
<td>3 1/2</td>
<td>1 3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 5/8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4 1/2</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/4&quot;</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
<td>7/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 7/8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>1 3/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 3/8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 1/2</td>
<td>1 3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 1/2</td>
<td>2 3/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 7/8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 3/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

① Table is based on requirements of AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specs. Art. 14.7.6.3.4: 
\( h_{rt} > 2\Delta_s \).
Engineer must also check that the minimum compressive load requirement (discussed in Article 14.3.3.3.1) is satisfied. Specifically:
\[ P_{min} \geq 5 \cdot G \cdot A_{pad} \cdot \frac{\Delta_s}{h_{rt}} \]
where \( P_{min} \) is the minimum factored load (0.9 \cdot DC + 1.75 \cdot LLmin), \( G \) is equal to the maximum shear modulus value (0.200 ksi), \( A_{pad} \) is the plan area of the bearing pad, and \( \Delta_u \) is the movement of the bearing pad from the undeformed state using a 75°F temperature.

② Engineer must also check the elastomeric bearing pad for compression deflection based on the requirements from AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Articles 14.7.6.3.3 and 14.7.5.3.6.

③ Pad thickness D includes \( h_{rt} \) and 1/8" steel reinforcement plates. Total elastomer thickness \( h_{rt} \) includes interior laminates plus 1/4" cover layers.

④ Maximum movement \( \Delta_s \) is the movement of the bearing pad from the undeformed state to the point of maximum deformation. Use a 75°F temperature change with a 1.3 load factor for calculation of maximum movement.

⑤ For “RB”, “M”, and “MN” series prestressed beam expansion elastomeric bearings, the number of laminates has been standardized for the movements that are most often encountered.
- If \( \Delta_s \leq 1.00" \), use 3 – ½" laminates.
- If 1.00" < \( \Delta_s \leq 1.75" \), use 6 – ½" laminates.